

Group Theory
Week #6, Lecture #21

Lemma If a group G has a subgroup H of index n , then G also has a normal subgroup N (contained in H) such that the index of N divides $n!$

$$\boxed{[G:H]=n \implies \exists N \subset H, N \triangleleft G, [G:N] | n!}$$

Comments

(1) Sierer problem in the book with $n=3$, with stronger conclusion

(2) When $n=2$, this recovers result: $[G:H]=2 \implies H \triangleleft G$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Since Lemma shows } \exists N \subset H \text{ st. } N \triangleleft G \text{ and} \\ [G:N] | 2! = 2 \implies N=H \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Since} \\ N \subset H \subset G \\ [G:N] = [G:H] \end{array} \right) \implies N=H \end{array} \right]$$

Proof Consider the group action of G on the set
 $S = \{ \text{left cosets of } H \}$
by left multiplication:

$$G \times S \longrightarrow S \quad (g, xH) \longmapsto (gx) \cdot H$$

Recall: $|S| = [G:H]$, so S has size n
Associated to this action there is a homomorphism

$$\varphi: G \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(S) = S_n$$

$$\varphi(g)(xH) = (gx)H$$

Let $N = \ker(\varphi)$. Then

(i) $N \triangleleft G$ ✓

(ii) $N \subseteq H$; $g \in N \implies gxH = xH, \forall x \in G$
 $\implies gxH = H \implies gx = e$ (take $x=e$) ✓

(iii) By the FTH: $G/N \xrightarrow[\varphi]{N} \text{im}(\varphi) \leq S_n$

But $\bullet |G/N| = [G:N]$

$\bullet \underbrace{|\text{im}(\varphi)| \mid |S_n|}_{\text{by Lagrange's theorem}}$ $= n!$

(since $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a subgroup of S_n)

$\therefore [G:N] = \underbrace{|G/N|}_{\text{FTH}} = |\text{im}(\varphi)| \mid |S_n| = n!$

QED

Corollary Let G be a finite group, and let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of G .

Then every subgroup $H < G$ of index p is normal.

- Particular cases:
- $\bullet |G| = 2m$, and $[G:H] = 2 \Rightarrow H \triangleleft G$
 - $\bullet |G|$ odd, $3 \mid |G|$, then $[G:H] = 3 \Rightarrow H \triangleleft G$
 - \bullet Informs on sizes of subgroups of finite simple groups

Proof Let $N \subseteq H$ be the normal subgroup of G constructed in the Lemma. Then:

- $\bullet [G:N] \mid \phi!$ (by Lemma)
- $\bullet [G:N] \mid |G|$ (by Lagrange)
- $\bullet p$ smallest prime dividing $|G|$ (by assumption)
- $\bullet [G:N] \geq [G:H] = p$ (by assumption & $N \subseteq H$)

Hence: $[G:N] = p$

[since all prime factors of $\phi! = p(p-1) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$ are either p or smaller than p , and, if one of those smaller primes were to divide $[G:N]$, then $q \mid |G|$ — contradiction!]
(say, q)

Now since $N \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and $[G:N] = [G:H] (= p)$ we conclude that $N=H$, and so $H \triangleleft G$.

QED

Application If G is a p -group (i.e. $|G| = p^n$, $n \geq 1$) and H is a subgroup of index p , then $H \triangleleft G$.

Product of subgroups

Definition (1) Let S and T be two subsets of a group G . Define their product to be

$$ST := \left\{ x \in G : x = st \text{ for } s \in S, t \in T \right\}$$

(a subset of G)

(2) If H and K are subgroups of G , we call HK the product of these subgroups

* WARNING: HK is not necessarily a subgroup of G .

Example: $G = S_3$, $H = \langle (12) \rangle$, $K = \langle (13) \rangle$
(two subgroups - not normal!)
then $HK = \{(), (12), (13), (123)\}$ - not a subgroup!
(since $(123)^2 = (132) \notin HK$, or since $|HK| = 4 \neq 6$, so by Lagrange $HK \not\leq G$)

* On the other hand, if $(G, +)$ is abelian, then the product of H & K (called in this setting the sum, and denoted $H + K$) is a subgroup of G :

$$H + K := \{ x \in G : x = h + k, h \in H, k \in K \}$$

check: $x_1, x_2 \in H + K$, then $x_1 = h_1 + k_1$, $x_2 = h_2 + k_2$
so $x_1 - x_2 = h_1 + k_1 - (h_2 + k_2) = (h_1 - h_2) + (k_1 - k_2) \in H + K$ ✓

Example $G = \mathbb{Z}$, $H = 4\mathbb{Z}$, $K = 6\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow H + K = 2\mathbb{Z}$

more generally: $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = \gcd(m,n) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$

Proposition $HK \leq G \iff HK = KH$

i.e., HK is a subgroup of G if and only if
 $\left[\forall h_1 \in H, \forall k_1 \in K, \exists k_2 \in K, h_2 \in H \text{ such that } \right.$
 $\left. h_1 k_1 = k_2 h_2 \right]$

Proof (\implies) If HK is a subgroup, then, $\forall h_1, k_1, h_2, k_2$

(i) $\exists h_3, k_3$ s.t. $(h_1 k_1) \cdot (h_2 k_2) = h_3 k_3$

(ii) $\exists h_4, k_4$ s.t. $(h_1 k_1)^{-1} = [k_1^{-1} h_1^{-1}] = h_4 k_4$

$[HK \leq KH]: h_1 k_1 \in HK \implies h_1 k_1^{-1} = (k_1^{-1} h_1^{-1})^{-1}$
 $\implies (h_4 k_4)^{-1} = k_4^{-1} h_4^{-1} \in KH$

$[KH \leq HK]$ - symmetric argument

(\impliedby) If $HK = KH$, then ($\forall h_1, k_1, \exists h_2, k_2$ s.t. $h_1 k_1 = k_2 h_2$)

take $x_1 = h_1 k_1$ and $x_2 = h_2 k_2$ in H ; then:

$$x_1 x_2^{-1} = (h_1 k_1) \cdot (h_2 k_2)^{-1} = h_1 k_1 k_2^{-1} h_2^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} h_1 \cdot h_3 \cdot k_3$$

$$= (h_1 h_3) (k_3^{-1})^{-1} \in HK$$

$\therefore HK \leq G$

\square QED

Corollary If $H \leq N(K)$, then $HK \leq G$

Comment The condition $H \leq N(K)$ means:

$$h k h^{-1} \in K, \forall h \in H$$

or

$$h k h^{-1} \in K, \forall h \in H, \forall k \in K$$

Proof $H \subseteq N(K) \Leftrightarrow hKh^{-1} \subseteq K \quad \forall h \in H$
 $\Leftrightarrow hKh^{-1} = K \quad \forall h \in H$
 since orbits of K under conj. action are either equal or disjoint
 $\Leftrightarrow hK = Kh \quad \forall h \in H$
 $\Rightarrow HK = KH$
 $\Leftrightarrow HK \leq G$
 Prop □

Prop Let G be a finite group and H, K two subgroups. Then

$$|HK| = \frac{|H| \cdot |K|}{|H \cap K|}$$

Proof (next time)

Corollary If $H \leq G$ and $K \trianglelefteq G$, then $HK \leq G$
 (reason: $K \trianglelefteq G$ means $N(K) = G \Rightarrow H \subseteq N(K)$)

Corollary If $H \trianglelefteq G$, $K \trianglelefteq G \Rightarrow HK \trianglelefteq G$

Proof We have $N(H) = N(K) = G$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \forall g \in G: \quad g(hk)g^{-1} &= g h (g^{-1}g) k g^{-1} \\ \forall h \in H, k \in K &= \underbrace{(ghg^{-1})}_{\in H} \underbrace{(gkg^{-1})}_{\in K} \end{aligned}$$

← since H, K normal

$\in HK$

□
