

**Which 3-manifold groups are  
Kähler groups?**

[arXiv:0709.4350](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4350)

**Alex Suciu**

Northeastern University

Joint with **Alex Dimca**

Université de Nice

Special Session *Arrangements and Related Topics*

AMS 2008 Spring Southeastern Meeting

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

March 29, 2008

## Realizing finitely presented groups

- Every finitely presented group  $G$  can be realized as

$$G = \pi_1(M),$$

for some smooth, compact, connected, orientable manifold  $M^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 4$ .

- The manifold  $M^n$  ( $n$  even) can be chosen to be symplectic (Gompf 1995).
- The manifold  $M^n$  ( $n$  even,  $n \geq 6$ ) can be chosen to be complex (Taubes 1992).

If  $M$  is a compact Kähler manifold,  $G = \pi_1(M)$  is called a *Kähler group* (or, *projective group*, if  $M$  is actually a smooth projective variety). This puts strong restrictions on  $G$ , e.g.:

- $b_1(G)$  is even (Hodge theory).
- $G$  is *1-formal*, i.e., its Malcev Lie algebra is quadratic (Deligne–Griffiths–Morgan–Sullivan 1975).
- $G$  cannot split non-trivially as a free product (Gromov 1989).

**Example.** Every finite group is a projective group (Serre 1958).

**Remark.** If  $G$  is a Kähler group, and  $H < G$  is a finite-index subgroup, then  $H$  is also a Kähler group.

Requiring  $M$  to be a (compact, connected, orientable) 3-manifold also puts severe restrictions on  $G = \pi_1(M)$ . For example, if  $G$  is abelian, then  $G$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ .

**Question** (Donaldson–Goldman 1989, Reznikov 1993). What are the 3-manifold groups which are Kähler groups?

Partial answer:

**Theorem** (Reznikov 2002). *Let  $M$  be an irreducible, atoroidal 3-manifold. Suppose there is a homomorphism  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  with Zariski dense image. Then  $G = \pi_1(M)$  is not a Kähler group.*

We answer the question for all 3-manifold groups:

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a 3-manifold group. If  $G$  is a Kähler group, then  $G$  is finite.*

By the Thurston Geometrization Conjecture (Perelman 2003), a closed, orientable 3-manifold  $M$  has finite fundamental group iff it admits a metric of constant positive curvature. Thus,  $M = S^3/G$ , where  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ , acting freely on  $S^3$ . By (Milnor 1957), the list of such finite groups is:

$$1, D_{4n}^*, O^*, I^*, D_{2^k(2n+1)}, P'_{8 \cdot 3^k},$$

and products of one of these with a cyclic group of relatively prime order.

**Remark.** The Theorem holds for fundamental groups of non-orientable (closed) 3-manifolds, as well: use the orientation double cover, and previous Remark.

## Characteristic varieties

Let  $X$  be a connected, finite-type CW-complex,  $G = \pi_1(X)$ , and  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  the character torus ( $\cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ,  $n = b_1(G)$ ).

Every  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  determines a rank 1 local system,  $\mathbb{C}_\rho$ , on  $X$ . The *characteristic varieties* of  $X$  are the jumping loci for cohomology with coefficients in such local systems:

$$V_d^i(X) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid \dim H^i(X, \mathbb{C}_\rho) \geq d\}.$$

**Note.**  $V_d(X) = V_d^1(X)$  depends only on  $G = \pi_1(X)$ , so we may write it as  $V_d(G)$ .

**Theorem** (Beauville, Green–Lazarsfeld, Simpson, Campana). *If  $G = \pi_1(M)$  is a Kähler group, then  $V_d(G)$  is a union of (possibly translated) subtori:*

$$V_d(G) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \cdot f_{\alpha}^* \text{Hom}(\pi_1(C_{\alpha}), \mathbb{C}^*),$$

where each  $f_{\alpha}: M \rightarrow C_{\alpha}$  is a surjective, holomorphic map to a compact, complex curve of positive genus.

## Resonance varieties

Consider now the cohomology algebra  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

Left-multiplication by  $x \in H = H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$  yields a cochain complex  $(H^*(X, \mathbb{C}), x)$ :

$$H^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{x \cdot} H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{x \cdot} H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

The *resonance varieties* of  $X$  are the jumping loci for the homology of this complex:

$$R_d^i(X) = \{x \in H \mid \dim H^i(H^*(X, \mathbb{C}), x) \geq d\}.$$

**Note.**  $x \in H$  belongs to  $R_d^1(X) \iff \exists$  subspace  $W \subset H$  of  $\dim d + 1$  such that  $x \cup y = 0, \forall y \in W$ .

**Note.**  $R_d(X) = R_d^1(X)$  depends only on  $G = \pi_1(X)$ , so write it as  $R_d(G)$ .

Set  $n = b_1(X)$ ,  $m = b_2(X)$ . Fix bases  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $H = H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$  for  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and write

$$e_i \cup e_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{i,j,k} f_k.$$

Define an  $m \times n$  matrix  $\Delta$  of linear forms in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , with entries

$$\Delta_{k,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{i,j,k} x_i.$$

Then:

$$R_d^1(X) = V(E_d(\Delta)),$$

where

$$E_d = \text{ideal of } (n-d) \times (n-d) \text{ minors}$$

**Note.**  $x \cup x = 0$  ( $\forall x \in H$ ) implies  $\Delta \cdot \vec{x} = 0$ , where  $\vec{x}$  is the column vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Remark.** When  $G$  is a commutator-relators group,  $\Delta = A^{\text{lin}}$ , the *linearized Alexander matrix*, from Cohen-S. [1999, 2006], Matei-S. [2000].

## The tangent cone theorem

Let  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C})$  be the Lie algebra of the character group  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , and consider the exponential map,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R_d^i(X) & & V_d^i(X) \end{array}$$

The tangent cone to  $V_d^i(X)$  at 1 is contained in  $R_d^i(X)$  (Libgober 2002).

In general, the inclusion is strict (Matei–S. 2002).

**Theorem** (Dimca–Papadima–S. 2005). *Let  $G$  be a 1-formal group (e.g., a Kähler group). Then,  $\forall d \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{exp}: (R_d(G), 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} (V_d(G), 1)$$

*is an iso of complex analytic germs. Consequently,*

$$\text{TC}_1(V_d(G)) = R_d(G).$$



## Resonance varieties of Kähler groups

The description of the irreducible components of  $V_1(M)$  in terms of pullbacks of tori  $H^1(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$  along holomorphic maps  $f: M \rightarrow C$ , together with the Tangent Cone Theorem yield:

**Theorem** (Dimca–Papadima–S. 2005). *Let  $G$  be a Kähler group. Then every positive-dimensional component of  $R_1(G)$  is an 1-isotropic linear subspace of  $H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$ , of dimension at least 4.*

Here, a subspace  $W \subseteq H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$  is 1-isotropic with respect to the cup-product map

$$\cup_G: H^1(G, \mathbb{C}) \wedge H^1(G, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C})$$

if the restriction of  $\cup_G$  to  $W \wedge W$  has rank 1.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a Kähler group. Suppose  $R_1(G) = H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$  is not 1-isotropic. Then  $b_1(G) = 0$ .*

## Resonance varieties of 3-manifold groups

Let  $M$  be a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold. Fix an orientation  $[M] \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

With this choice, the cup product on  $M$  determines an alternating 3-form  $\mu_M$  on  $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\mu_M(x, y, z) = \langle x \cup y \cup z, [M] \rangle,$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  is the Kronecker pairing.

In turn,  $\cup_M: H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \wedge H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is determined by  $\mu_M$ , via  $\langle x \cup y, \gamma \rangle = \mu_M(x, y, z)$ , where  $z = \text{PD}(\gamma)$  is the Poincaré dual of  $\gamma \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Now fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $H^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ , and choose as basis for  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  the set  $\{e_1^\vee, \dots, e_n^\vee\}$ , where  $e_i^\vee$  is the Kronecker dual of the Poincaré dual of  $e_i$ . Then

$$\mu(e_i, e_j, e_k) = \left\langle \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \mu_{i,j,m} e_m^\vee, \text{PD}(e_k) \right\rangle = \mu_{i,j,k}.$$

Recall the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Delta$ , with  $\Delta_{k,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{i,j,k} x_i$ . Since  $\mu$  is an alternating form,  $\Delta$  is skew-symmetric.

**Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a closed, orientable 3-manifold. Then:*

1.  $H^1(M, \mathbb{C})$  is not 1-isotropic.
2. If  $b_1(M)$  is even, then  $R_1(M) = H^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* To prove (1), suppose  $\dim \text{im}(\cup_M) = 1$ . This means there is a hyperplane  $E \subset H := H^1(M, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $x \cup y \cup z = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $z \in E$ . Hence, the skew 3-form  $\mu: \wedge^3 H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  factors through a skew 3-form  $\bar{\mu}: \wedge^3(H/E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . But  $\dim H/E = 1$  forces  $\bar{\mu} = 0$ , and so  $\mu = 0$ , a contradiction.

To prove (2), recall  $R_1(M) = V(E_1(\Delta))$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a skew-symmetric matrix of even size, it follows from (Buchsbaum–Eisenbud 1977) that

$$V(E_1(\Delta)) = V(E_0(\Delta)).$$

But  $\Delta \vec{x} = 0 \Rightarrow \det \Delta = 0$ ; hence,  $V(E_0(\Delta)) = H$ .  $\square$

## Kazhdan's property T

**Definition.** A discrete group  $G$  satisfies *Kazhdan's property T* if

$$H^1(G, \mathbb{C}_\rho^k) = 0,$$

for all representations  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(k)$ .

In particular,  $b_1(G) \neq 0 \implies G$  not Kazhdan.

**Theorem** (Reznikov 2002). *Let  $G$  be a Kähler group. If  $G$  is not Kazhdan, then  $b_2(G) \neq 0$ .*

**Theorem** (Fujiwara 1999). *Let  $G$  be a 3-manifold group. If  $G$  is Kazhdan, then  $G$  is finite.*

**Remark.** The last theorem holds for any subgroup  $G$  of  $\pi_1(M)$ , where  $M$  is a compact (not necessarily boundaryless), orientable 3-manifold. Fujiwara assumes that each piece of the JSJ decomposition of  $M$  admits one of the 8 geometric structures in the sense of Thurston, but this is now guaranteed by the work of Perelman.

## Proof of Main Theorem

Let  $G$  be the fundamental group of a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold  $M$ .

Suppose  $G$  is a Kähler group, and  $G$  is not finite.

*Step 1.* Claim:  $M$  is irreducible.

Otherwise,  $M$  splits as a connected sum  $M_1 \# M_2$ , with  $M_i \not\cong S^3$ . Thus, by van Kampen's Theorem,

$$G = G_1 * G_2.$$

Each group  $G_i = \pi_1(M_i)$  is non-trivial, by the Poincaré conjecture (proved by Perelman).

But  $G$  is a Kähler group, so it does not admit such a non-trivial splitting, by Gromov's Theorem.

*Step 2.* Since  $M$  is irreducible and  $G = \pi_1(M)$  is infinite, the Sphere Theorem of Papakyriakopoulos implies

$$M = K(G, 1).$$

Thus, by Poincaré duality,

$$b_1(G) = b_2(G).$$

*Step 3.* Since  $G$  is an infinite 3-manifold group,  $G$  is not Kazhdan, by Fujiwara's Theorem.

Since  $G$  is Kähler and not Kazhdan,  $b_2(G) \neq 0$ , by Reznikov's Theorem.

Thus, by Step 2,

$$b_1(G) \neq 0.$$

*Step 4.* Since  $G$  is Kähler,  $b_1(G)$  must be even.

Since  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold with  $G = \pi_1(M)$ , the Proposition gives

$$R_1(G) = H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$$

and  $H^1(G, \mathbb{C})$  is not 1-isotropic.

Since, on the other hand,  $G$  is Kähler, the Corollary gives

$$b_1(G) = 0.$$

Our assumptions have led to a contradiction. Thus, the Theorem is proved.

## Quasi-Kähler groups

A group  $G$  is *quasi-Kähler* (*quasi-projective*) if  $G = \pi_1(M \setminus D)$ , where  $M$  is a Kähler (projective) manifold and  $D$  is a divisor with normal crossings. E.g., arrangement groups are quasi-projective.

**Question.** Which 3-manifold groups are quasi-Kähler?

We have partial results, including a complete answer in the case of *boundary manifolds* of line arrangements.

**Theorem** (Cohen–S. 2008, Dimca–Papadima–S. 2008).

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_n\}$  be a line arrangement in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Let  $M$  be the boundary of a regular neighborhood of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $G = \pi_1(M)$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $G$  is 1-formal.
2.  $\mathrm{TC}_1(V_d(G)) = R_d(G)$ .
3.  $G$  is quasi-Kähler.
4.  $G$  is quasi-projective.
5.  $\mathcal{A}$  is either a pencil or a near-pencil.

In this case,  $M = \#^n S^1 \times S^2$  or  $M = S^1 \times \Sigma_{n-1}$ .