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#### Abstract

The group of basis-conjugating automorphisms of the free group of rank $n$, also known as the McCool group or the welded braid group $P \Sigma_{n}$, contains a much-studied subgroup, called the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. Starting from the cohomology ring of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, we find, by means of a Gröbner basis computation, a simple presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of this group, from which we determine the resonance varieties and the Chen ranks of the upper McCool groups. These computations reveal that, unlike for the pure braid group $P_{n}$ and the full McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}$, the Chen ranks conjecture does not hold for $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, for any $n \geq 4$. Consequently, $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$is not isomorphic to $P_{n}$ in that range, thus answering a question of Cohen, Pakianathan, Vershinin, and Wu. We also determine the scheme structure of the resonance varieties $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, and show that these schemes are not reduced for $n \geq 4$.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Basis-conjugating groups. An automorphism of the free group $F_{n}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is called a symmetric automorphism if it sends each generator $x_{i}$ to a conjugate of $x_{\sigma(i)}$, for some permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$. The set of all such automorphisms forms a subgroup $B \Sigma_{n}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$, known as the braidpermutation group, [11] or the welded braid group, [2]. The Artin braid group $B_{n}$ is the subgroup of $B \Sigma_{n}$ consisting of those symmetric automorphisms which fix the word $x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$.

[^0]The kernel of the canonical projection $B \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$, denoted $P \Sigma_{n}$, is known as the basis-conjugating group, or the pure welded braid group. In [23], J. McCool showed that $P \Sigma_{n}$ is generated by the Magnus automorphisms $\alpha_{i j}: x_{i} \mapsto x_{j} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}$, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and gave a presentation of this group; for that reason, $P \Sigma_{n}$ is also known as the McCool group. Notably, the group $P \Sigma_{n}$ can be realized as the pure motion group of $n$ unknotted, unlinked circles in $S^{3}$. We refer to the recent surveys $[12,33]$ for detailed accounts of this subject and further references.

We concentrate in this paper on the subgroup of $P \Sigma_{n}$ generated by the automorphisms $\alpha_{i j}$ with $i>j$. This subgroup is called the upper triangular McCool group, and is denoted by $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. Both the pure braid group $P_{n}=\operatorname{ker}\left(B_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}\right)$ and the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are subgroups of the full McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}$. Furthermore, both groups are iterated semidirect products of the form $F_{n-1} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_{2} \rtimes F_{1}$, with monodromies acting trivially in first homology; thus, they share the same Betti numbers and the same lower central series quotients, see [1, 17, 20, 11].

In [11], Cohen, Pakianathan, Vershinin, and Wu asked whether or not the groups $P_{n}$ and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are isomorphic. For $n \leq 3$, it was already known that the answer is yes. In [3], Bardakov and Mikhailov attempted to prove that $P_{4}$ is not isomorphic to $P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$by showing that the two groups have different single-variable Alexander polynomials. However, the single-variable Alexander polynomial depends on the choice of presentation for a group, and thus it cannot be used as an isomorphismtype invariant. Moreover, the multi-variable Alexander polynomial (which is an isomorphism-type invariant), is equal to 1 for both $P_{4}$ and $P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$.

Nevertheless, the work that we undertake here allows us to distinguish the groups $P_{n}$ and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$for all $n \geq 4$, by means of both the Chen ranks and the resonance varieties associated to these groups. Some of these results were announced in [33]; this paper contains full proofs of those results.
1.2. Chen ranks. Given a finitely generated group $G$, we let $\left\{\Gamma_{k} G\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ be its lower central series, and we let $\operatorname{gr}(G)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \Gamma_{k} G / \Gamma_{k+1} G$ be the associated graded Lie ring, with Lie bracket induced from the group commutator. The LCS ranks of $G$, then, are the integers $\phi_{k}(G)=$ rank $\operatorname{gr}_{k}(G)$.

The Chen ranks of $G$, introduced by K.-T. Chen in [5], are the LCS ranks of the quotient of $G$ by its second derived subgroup, $G^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\theta_{k}(G):=\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{gr}_{k}\left(G / G^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

As such, the Chen ranks provide an approximation from below for the LCS ranks. For a variety of reasons, though, the Chen ranks $\theta_{k}(G)$ are invariants worth studying in their own right, oftentimes providing more refined information about the given group $G$ than the LCS ranks $\phi_{k}(G)$.

In [21], W. Massey used the Chen ranks to study the fundamental groups of link complements. In the process, he showed that the Chen ranks of a finitely generated group $G$ can be computed from the Alexander invariant $B(G):=H_{1}\left(G^{\prime} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$, which is the first homology of the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}=\Gamma_{2} G$, viewed as a module over the group algebra $R=\mathbb{C}\left[H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{Z})\right]$, as follows:

$$
\theta_{k}(G)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{gr}_{k-2}(B(G)), \text { for } k \geq 2,
$$

where $\operatorname{gr}(B(G))$ is the associated graded module to $B(G)$ with respect to the filtration by powers of the augmentation ideal $I=\operatorname{ker}(\varepsilon: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$.

A quadratic approximation of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{gr}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the holonomy Lie algebra of $G$ defined by $\mathfrak{b}(G):=\operatorname{lie}\left(H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})\right) / \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{G}\right)$, where $\operatorname{lie}\left(H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})\right)$ the free Lie algebra generated by the first homology $H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$, and $\partial_{G}$ is the dual of the cup product map $H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \wedge H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. The infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $G$ is the finitely generated, graded $S$-module defined by $\mathfrak{B}(G):=\mathfrak{h}(G)^{\prime} / \mathfrak{h}(G)^{\prime \prime}$, where $S=\operatorname{gr}(R)$ is the symmetric algebra on $H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. If the group $G$ is 1 -formal (in the sense of rational homotopy theory), then, as shown by Papadima and Suciu in [24],
there is an isomorphism of graded $S$-modules, $\operatorname{gr}(B(G)) \cong \mathfrak{B}(G)$. Thus, the Chen ranks of such groups $G$ can be computed from the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{B}(G)$.

The class of 1-formal groups to which the above method applies includes all arrangement groups (such as the pure braid groups $P_{n}$ ), Kähler groups, and right-angled Artin groups, see for instance [14, 26, 35] and references therein. Of great importance to us is that, as shown by Berceanu and Papadima in [4], all the McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}$ and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are 1-formal.

Based on a refinement of the Gröbner basis algorithm from [9] applied to the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, we find a closed formula for the Chen ranks of the groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$.
Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.2). The Chen ranks of the upper McCool groups, $\theta_{k}=\theta_{k}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, are given by $\theta_{1}=\binom{n}{2}, \theta_{2}=\binom{n}{3}$, and

$$
\theta_{k}=\binom{n+1}{4}+\sum_{i=3}^{k}\binom{n+i-2}{i+1} \quad \text { for } k \geq 3 .
$$

As a quick application of our result, we obtain the following corollary, which answers the aforementioned question of F. Cohen et al. from [11].

Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 5.3). For each $n \geq 4$, the pure braid group $P_{n}$, the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, and the direct product $\Pi_{n}:=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} F_{i}$ are all pairwise non-isomorphic, although they all do have the same LCS ranks and the same Betti numbers.

The fact that $P_{n} \not \not \Pi_{n}$ for $n \geq 4$ was already established by Cohen and Suciu in [9], also using the Chen ranks. The novelty here is the distinction between $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$and the other two groups.
1.3. Resonance varieties. Given a finitely generated group $G$, we let $A^{*}=H^{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ be its cohomology algebra. The resonance varieties of $G$ are the jump loci for the cohomology of the Aomoto complexes $(A, a)$ parametrized by the vector space $A^{1}$. We focus here on the first resonance variety, $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$, which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)=\left\{a \in A^{1} \mid \exists b \in A^{1} \text { such that } b \notin \mathbb{C} \cdot a \text { and } a b=0 \in A^{2}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, these varieties can be arbitrarily complicated homogeneous algebraic subsets of $A^{1}$. Nevertheless, if the group $G$ is 1 -formal, then the Tangent Cone theorem of [14] insures that $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ is a union of rationally defined linear subspaces of $H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. For instance, the first resonance variety of the pure braid group $P_{n}$, determined in [10], is a union of linear subspaces of $H^{1}\left(P_{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ of dimension 2. In [7], D. Cohen computed the first resonance variety of the full McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}$, showing that this variety is a union of linear subspaces of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ of dimension 2 and 3 .

In this paper, we pursue this line of inquiry by determining the resonance varieties of the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. To start with, let us identify the ambient space $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ with $\mathbb{C}_{2}^{\left(\begin{array}{l}n\end{array}\right) \text {, and pick }}$ coordinate functions $x_{i, j}$ with $1 \leq j<i \leq n$ corresponding to the Magnus generators $\alpha_{i j}$. By [22], the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$is cut out by the annihilator ideal of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, viewed as a module over the coordinate ring $S=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{i j}\right]$. Using the aforementioned Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$, we arrive at the following description of the variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$.
Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 7.1 and 7.2). For each $n \geq 3$, the first resonance variety of the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$decomposes into irreducible components as

$$
\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\bigcup_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} L_{i j}
$$

where $L_{i j}$ is the $j$-dimensional linear subspace of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ defined by the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
x_{i, l}+x_{j, l} & =0 \\
x_{i, l} & =0 \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq j-1, \\
x_{s, t} & =0 \\
\text { for } s \neq i, s \neq j, \\
j, ~ a n d ~ \\
1
\end{array} \leq t<s . ~ \$\right.
$$

Moreover,
(1) All the components are projectively disjoint, i.e., $L_{i j} \cap L_{s t}=\{0\}$ if $(i, j) \neq(s, t)$.
(2) The subspaces $L_{i j}$ are 0 -isotropic for $j=2$ and $\binom{(-1}{2}$-isotropic for $j \geq 3$, i.e., the restriction of the cup-product map on $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ to $L_{i j}$ has rank $\binom{j-1}{2}$.
A finitely presented group $G$ is said to be quasi-projective if it can be realized as the fundamental group of a smooth, complex, quasi-projective variety. A classical problem, formulated by J.-P. Serre, is to determine which finitely presented groups are quasi-projective. Using the aforementioned description of the first resonance varieties of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$and structural theorems from [13, 14], we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1.4 (Proposition 7.7). The upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are not quasi-projective groups, for any $n \geq 4$.

Comparing the resonance varieties of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$with those of $P_{n}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ (already computed in [10]), we obtain another proof of Corollary 1.2. Furthermore, comparing the resonance varieties of $P \Sigma_{n}$ with those of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, we obtain the following application.

Proposition 1.5 (Proposition 7.4). There is no epimorphism from $P \Sigma_{n}$ to $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$for $n \geq 4$.
1.4. Resonance scheme structure. As shown by Matei and Suciu in [22], the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ of a commutator-relators group $G$ coincides with the support variety of the annihilator of $\mathfrak{B}(G)$. It is natural then to talk about the resonance scheme of $G$ as the scheme defined by the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}(G))$. The primary components of this ideal cut out the primary subschemes; the resonance scheme consists of isolated components (namely, the irreducible components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ ), together with embedded components. We say that $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ is weakly reduced as a scheme if the only embedded component of $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{B}(G))$ is the point $0 \in H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$.

The next theorem describes the resonance scheme structure of the upper McCool groups.
Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 8.6). The resonance scheme of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$consists of:
Isolated components: The linear subspaces $L_{i j}(2 \leq j<i \leq n)$ listed in Theorem 1.3.
Embedded components: The 1-dimensional linear subspaces $L_{i j}^{\prime} \subset L_{i j}(3 \leq j<i \leq n)$ defined by the equations $x_{s t}=0$ with $1 \leq t<s \leq n$ and $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$.

In particular (Corollary 8.7), the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$is not weakly reduced as a scheme for $n \geq 4$.

The scheme structure of $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ is crucial for studying the relationship between the first resonance variety and the Chen ranks of a group $G$. It was conjectured in [29] that the Chen ranks of an arrangement group $G$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}(G)=\sum_{m \geq 2} h_{m}(G) \cdot \theta_{k}\left(F_{m}\right), \text { for } k \gg 0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{m}(G)$ is the number of $m$-dimensional components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$. In [8], Cohen and Schenck proved the conjecture for the wider class of 1-formal groups which have weakly reduced resonance
schemes, and 0 -isotropic, projectively disjoint irreducible components. They also showed that the first resonance variety of the full McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}$ is weakly reduced. Furthermore, the Chen ranks formula works for $P \Sigma_{n}$, from which they deduced that $\theta_{k}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)=(k-1)\binom{n}{2}+\left(k^{2}-1\right)\binom{n}{3}$ for $k \gg 0$.

Theorem 1.1 provides a closed formula for the Chen ranks $\theta_{k}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$for $k \geq 1$. Rather surprisingly, it turns out that the Chen ranks formula does not apply to $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$for $n \geq 4$. There are two reasons for that: firstly, the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$contains non-isotropic components, and secondly, $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$is not weakly reduced as a scheme. The computation of the scheme structure also shows how the embedded components affect the Chen ranks. This provides us with a benchmark test case for a generalized Chen ranks formula, which is the subject of ongoing work.

## 2. Alexander invariant and Chen ranks

We start by reviewing several invariants associated to a finitely generated group, mainly the lower central series ranks, the Chen ranks, and the Alexander invariant. We then discuss the infinitesimal version of the Alexander invariant, this time associated to a graded Lie algebra.
2.1. Associated graded Lie ring and Chen ranks. Throughout, $G$ will be a finitely generated group. The terms of the lower central series (LCS) of $G$ are defined inductively by $\Gamma_{1} G=G$ and $\Gamma_{k} G=\left[G, \Gamma_{k-1} G\right]$ for $k \geq 2$. It is readily seen that $\Gamma_{k+1} G$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{k} G$, and the quotient group, $\operatorname{gr}_{k}(G)=\Gamma_{k} G / \Gamma_{k+1} G$, is a finitely generated abelian group. The associated graded Lie ring of $G$ is the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}(G)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \Gamma_{k} G / \Gamma_{k+1} G, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Lie bracket [, ]: $\mathrm{gr}_{k}(G) \times \mathrm{gr}_{\ell}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k+\ell}(G)$ induced by the group commutator. By definition, the LCS ranks of $G$ are the integers $\phi_{k}(G):=$ rank $\operatorname{gr}_{k}(G)$.

Now let $G^{\prime}=\Gamma_{2} G$ be the derived subgroup of $G$, and let $G^{\prime \prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right]$ the second derived subgroup. Then $G_{\mathrm{ab}}:=G / G^{\prime}$ is the maximal abelian quotient of $G$, whereas $G / G^{\prime \prime}$ is the maximal metabelian quotient of $G$. Following [5, 6], let us define the Chen ranks of $G$ as the LCS ranks of $G / G^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}(G):=\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{gr}_{k}\left(G / G^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily seen that $\theta_{k}(G) \leq \phi_{k}(G)$, with equality for $k \leq 3$.
2.2. Alexander invariant and Chen ranks. Let $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ be the group ring of $G$, let $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the augmentation homomorphism, defined by $\varepsilon(g)=1$ for $g \in G$, and let $I=\operatorname{ker} \varepsilon$ be the augmentation ideal. The Alexander module, $A(G)=I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} G} \mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}$, is the $\mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}$-module induced from $I$ by the extension of the abelianization map $\alpha: G \rightarrow G / G^{\prime}$ to group rings. The Alexander invariant of $G$ is the $\mathbb{Z} G_{\text {ab }}$-module

$$
B(G)=G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime},
$$

with the group $G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ acting on the cosets of $G^{\prime \prime}$ via conjugation. Since the group $G$ is finitely generated, both $A(G)$ and $B(G)$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}$-modules.

As shown by W. Massey in [21], the Chen ranks can be computed from the Alexander invariant using the group extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow G / G^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow G / G^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, let us filter both the group ring $\mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ and the module $B(G)$ by the powers of the augmentation ideal $J=\operatorname{ker}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ab}}: \mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and let us take the associated graded module, $\operatorname{gr}(B(G))=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} J^{k} G / J^{k+1} G$, viewed as a module over the ring $\operatorname{gr}\left(\mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$. Identifying this ring with the symmetric algebra $S=\operatorname{Sym}\left(G_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ in a canonical fashion, we may view $\operatorname{gr}(B(G))$ as a (finitely generated) $S$-module. The following equality then holds, for all $k \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k+2}(G)=\operatorname{rank}^{\operatorname{gr}_{k}}(B(G)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. Infinitesimal Alexander invariant of an algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_{k}$ be a finitely generated, graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $S$ the universal enveloping algebra of its abelianization, $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. We will identify this algebra with the symmetric algebra $S=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)$, with variables in degree 1.

Following [24], let us define the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $\mathfrak{g}$ to be the graded $S$-module

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{g}):=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime \prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact sequence of graded Lie algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the required graded $S$-module structure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{g})$.
Now let $A=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^{i}$ be graded, graded-commutative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We shall assume that $A$ is connected (i.e., $A^{0}=\mathbb{C}$, generated by the unit 1), and locally finite (i.e., $A^{i}$ has finite dimension, for each $i \geq 1$ ). Write $V=A^{1}$, and let $\partial_{A}:\left(A^{2}\right)^{*} \rightarrow V^{*} \wedge V^{*}$ be the dual of the multiplication map $\mu_{A}: V \wedge V \rightarrow A^{2}$, where we identified $(V \wedge V)^{*} \cong V^{*} \wedge V^{*}$. The holonomy Lie algebra of $A$ is defined to be the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}(A)=\operatorname{lie}\left(V^{*}\right) /\left\langle\operatorname{im} \partial_{A}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the free Lie algebra on $V^{*}$ by the ideal generated by the image of $\partial_{A}$. By construction, $\mathfrak{h}(A)$ is a finitely presented, quadratic Lie algebra.

By definition, the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $A$ is the graded $S$-module

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}(A):=\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{b}(A)), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{1}(A)\right)$ is canonically identified with $\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$. From the exact sequence (8), we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \theta_{k+2}(A) \cdot t^{k}=\operatorname{Hilb}(\mathfrak{B}(A), t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{i}(A):=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\mathfrak{b}(A) / \mathfrak{h}(A)^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i}\right)$ is the $i$-th Chen rank of $A$. It is readily seen that $\mathfrak{h}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ coincide with the holonomy Lie algebra and the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of the quadratic closure of $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}=E /\left\langle\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E=\Lambda V$ and $\left\langle\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}\right\rangle$ is the ideal generated by ker $\mu_{A}$. We refer to [32,35,34] for full details of this construction, and further references and background.
2.4. Infinitesimal Alexander invariant of a 1 -formal group. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, and suppose that $H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is finite-dimensional. We define then the holonomy Lie algebra of $G$ as $\mathfrak{h}(G):=\mathfrak{h}(A)$, where $A=H^{\leq 2}(G, \mathbb{C})$ is the degree-2 truncation of the cohomology algebra of $G$. The infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $G$ is then the graded $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}(G):=\mathfrak{B}(A)$, where $S$ is the symmetric algebra on $H_{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. Note that this module is finitely generated (in degree 0 ), and therefore admits a finite presentation of the form $S^{m} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(G)$. Choosing bases for $S^{m}$ and $S^{n}$, we may view the map $\alpha$ as a matrix with $n$ rows and $m$ columns, having entries in $S$.

For each nilpotent quotient $G / \Gamma_{i} G$, there is a filtered $\mathbb{C}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}\left(G / \Gamma_{i} G\right)$, whose construction goes back to Anatoli Malcev. The Malcev Lie algebra of $G$ is defined to be the inverse limit $\mathfrak{m}(G):=\lim _{\longleftarrow} \mathfrak{m}\left(G / \Gamma_{k} G\right)$, see for instance [24, 26, 35] for details and references. We say that the group $G$ is 1 -formal if there exists a filtered Lie algebra isomorphism between the Malcev Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}(G)$ and the degree completion of $\mathfrak{h}(G)$.

If $G$ is a 1 -formal group, then, as shown in [24] in the commutator-relators case, and in [34] in general, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \theta_{k+2}(G) \cdot t^{k}=\operatorname{Hilb}(\mathfrak{B}(G), t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.5. Presentations for $\mathfrak{B}(A)$. Now suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a finite, quadratic presentation, that is, $\mathfrak{g}=$ $\operatorname{lie}(H) /\langle K\rangle$, where $H$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, $K$ is a finite set of degree-two elements in the free Lie algebra lie $(H)$, and $\langle K\rangle$ is the Lie ideal generated by $K$. Then, by [24], the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ admits a homogeneous, finite presentation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\bigwedge^{3} H\right) \oplus K\right) \otimes S \xrightarrow{\delta_{3}+(\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota)} \bigwedge^{2} H \otimes S \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota$ is the inclusion of $\mathfrak{a}$ into $\operatorname{lie}(H)_{2} \cong H \wedge H$, and $\delta_{3}$ is the Koszul differential.
As before, let $A$ be a graded, graded-commutative, locally finite, connected algebra. The algebra $A$ may be viewed as an $E$-module, where $E=\wedge V$ is the exterior algebra on the vector space $V=A^{1}$. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ for $V$ and let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be the dual basis for $V^{*}$; then $S=\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$ may be identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. When applied to the $E$-module $A$, the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand correspondence (see e.g. [16, §7B]) yields a cochain complex of free $S$-modules,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}(A): A^{0} \otimes S \xrightarrow{d^{0}} A^{1} \otimes S \xrightarrow{d^{1}} A^{2} \otimes S \xrightarrow{d^{2}} \cdots, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with differentials given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{i}(u \otimes s)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{j} u \otimes x_{j} s \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in A^{i}$ and $s \in S$. In particular, $\mathbf{L}(E)$ is the dual of the Koszul complex.
Let $I:=\left\langle\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}\right\rangle$ be the (graded) ideal of $E$ generated by $\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}$ as in (12), and denote $\iota: I \rightarrow E$ the inclusion map. By construction, $I^{2}=\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}$. Hence, we have a commuting diagram,

where $\Phi$ is the composite $d_{E}^{2} \circ(\iota \otimes \mathrm{id}): I^{2} \otimes S \rightarrow E^{3} \otimes S$.

In the next lemma, we obtain another presentation for $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ which has a minimal generating set. This result generalizes formula (2.5) from [8], where Cohen and Schenck give a presentation for the linearized Alexander invariant of a commutator-relators group.
Lemma 2.1. The dual of the $S$-linear map $\Phi: I^{2} \otimes S \rightarrow E^{3} \otimes S$ provides a presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}(A)$. In addition, any basis for the vector space dual of $I^{2}$ gives a minimal generating set for the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}(A)$.

Proof. By definition, the ideal $I$ of the exterior algebra $E=\wedge V$ is generated by the vector space $I^{2}=\operatorname{ker} \mu_{A}$. Taking the dual spaces, we have an isomorphism $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \cong \operatorname{coker} \partial_{A}$, where $\partial_{A}$ is the dual of the multiplication $\mu_{A}$. Recall that the map $\Phi$ was defined as the composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{2} \otimes S \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\Phi}{\imath \mathrm{id}} \Lambda^{2} V \otimes S \xrightarrow{d_{E}^{2}}} \Lambda^{3} V \otimes S, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{E}^{2}$ is the dual of the Koszul differential. All $S$-modules in the above diagram are free modules. Taking duals, we obtain the diagram

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{coker}\left(\partial_{A}\right) \otimes S \Phi^{((\otimes 8 \mathrm{i}))^{*}} \Lambda^{2} V^{*} \otimes S \stackrel{\left(d_{E}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\leftrightarrows} \Lambda^{3} V^{*} \otimes S . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $(\iota \otimes \mathrm{id})^{*}$ coincides with the projection map and $\left(d_{E}^{2}\right)^{*}$ coincides with the Koszul differential $\delta_{3}$ from (14) in the case when $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}(A)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ is isomorphic to coker $\Phi^{*}$, as claimed.

To prove the last assertion, we may assume without loss of generality that $A=\bar{A}=E /\left\langle I^{2}\right\rangle$. From (11), we have that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B}(A)_{0}=\theta_{2}(A)=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}(A)_{2}$; in view of (9), then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B}(A)_{0}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{*}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im} \partial_{A}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{coker} \partial_{A}\right)=\operatorname{dim} I^{2} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ is generated by $\mathfrak{B}(A)_{0}$, we conclude that the generating set for $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ given by a basis for the dual of $I^{2}$ is indeed a minimal generating set.

## 3. The infinitesimal Alexander invariant of the upper McCool groups

In this section, we give a presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of the upper McCool groups, and simplify this presentation to a minimal presentation.
3.1. The upper McCool groups. Let $F_{n}$ be the free group on generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and let $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ be its automorphism group. Recall that the basis-conjugating group $P \Sigma_{n}$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ consisting of those automorphisms which send each generator $x_{i}$ to a conjugate of itself.

In [23], James McCool gave a presentation for $P \Sigma_{n}$, a group also known nowadays as the McCool group, or the pure welded braid group. This presentation has generators $\alpha_{i j}$ (the automorphism sending $x_{i}$ to $x_{j} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}$ ) for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i j} \alpha_{i k} \alpha_{j k} & =\alpha_{j k} \alpha_{i k} \alpha_{i j} & & \\
{\left[\alpha_{i k}, \alpha_{j k}\right] } & =1 & & \text { for distinct } i, j, k  \tag{21}\\
{\left[\alpha_{i j}, \alpha_{s t}\right] } & =1 & & \text { if }\{i, j\} \cap\{s, t\}=\emptyset .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows at once that $P \Sigma_{1}=\{1\}$ and $P \Sigma_{2}=F_{2}$. Since there is not much else to be said in those cases, we will usually concentrate on the case when $n \geq 3$.

The subgroup of $P \Sigma_{n}$ generated by the elements $\alpha_{i j}$ with $i>j$ is called the upper triangular $M c$ Cool group, and is denoted by $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. It readily seen that $P \Sigma_{1}^{+}=\{1\}, P \Sigma_{2}^{+}=\mathbb{Z}$, and $P \Sigma_{3}^{+} \cong F_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Work of Berceanu and Papadima from [4] establishes the 1 -formality of all these groups.
Theorem 3.1 ([4]). The McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}$, as well as their upper triangular subgroups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are 1 -formal, for all $n \geq 1$.

In [19], Jensen, McCammond, and Meier computed the cohomology ring of $P \Sigma_{n}$, thereby verifying a long-standing conjecture of Brownstein and Lee. Shortly after, the integral cohomology ring of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$was computed by F . Cohen et al. [11], as follows.

Theorem 3.2 ([11]). The cohomology algebra $A=H^{*}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the graded, graded-commutative (associative) algebra generated by degree 1 elements $u_{i j}$ with $1 \leq j<i \leq n$, subject to the relations $u_{i j}\left(u_{i k}-u_{j k}\right)=0$ for $k<j<i$.

We will use Theorem 3.2 to compute a presentation for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}:=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$. We first choose an order for the aforementioned basis of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ by setting $u_{i j}>u_{k l}$ if either $i>k$, or $i=k$ and $j>l$.

Let $\mathbf{x}=\left\{x_{i j} \mid 1 \leq j<i \leq n\right\}$ be the dual of the basis $\left\{u_{i j}\right\}$ of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and let $S=\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the polynomial ring in those variables. The relators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{r_{i j k}^{*}:=\left(u_{i k}-u_{j k}\right) u_{i j} \mid 1 \leq k<j<i \leq n\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the cohomology algebra $A=E / I$ from Theorem 3.2 form a basis for the vector space $I^{2}$, as well as for the free $S$-module $I^{2} \otimes S$. Finally, the set $\left\{u_{s t} u_{l k} u_{i j} \mid u_{i j}>u_{l k}>u_{s t}\right\}$ forms a basis for $E^{3}$, and also a basis for the free $S$-module $E^{3} \otimes S$.
3.2. The map $\Phi$. Using the aforementioned choices of bases, we now provide an explicit description of the map $\Phi$ from diagram (17), in our situation. When identifying the free $S$-module $V \otimes S$ with $S^{k}$ for some $k$-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V$, we will write the element $v \otimes s$ as $s \cdot v$.
Lemma 3.3. If $A$ is the cohomology algebra of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, then the $S$-linear map $\Phi: I^{2} \otimes S \rightarrow E^{3} \otimes S$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(r_{i j k}^{*}\right)=-\left(x_{i k}+x_{j k}\right) \cdot u_{j k} u_{i k} u_{i j}+\sum_{s>t,\{s, t\} \nmid\{i, j, k\}} x_{s t} \cdot u_{s t}\left(u_{j k}-u_{i k}\right) u_{i j} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that $\Phi$ is the composition of the differential $d^{2}: E^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$ from (16) with the inclusion $\iota: I^{2} \rightarrow E^{2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(r_{i j k}^{*}\right)=d^{2}\left(r_{i j k}^{*} \otimes 1\right)=\sum_{1 \leq t<s \leq n}^{n} u_{s t} r_{i j k}^{*} \otimes x_{s t}=\sum_{1 \leq t<s \leq n}^{n} u_{s t} u_{i j}\left(u_{i k}-u_{j k}\right) \otimes x_{s t} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying the last expression using graded-commutativity yields (23).
From formula (23), we see that each entry of the matrix of $\Phi$ is of the form $x_{i k}+x_{j k}$ or $x_{s t}$ for $\{s, t\} \nsubseteq\{i, j, k\}, t<s$ and $k<j<i$.
3.3. A reduced presentation for $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$. By Lemma 2.1, the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$has presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{3}\right)^{*} \otimes S \xrightarrow{\Phi^{*}}\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next objective is to simplify this presentation in order to make it more manageable. Let $\left\{r_{i j k} \mid\right.$ $1 \leq k<j<i \leq n\}$ be the basis of the vector space $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*}$, dual to the basis of $I^{2}$ from (22).

Lemma 3.4. The submodule im $\Phi^{*}$ of $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$ is generated by the set $\mathscr{B}=\bigcup \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$, where the union is over all $1 \leq k<j<i \leq n$, and each subset $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ consists of the following elements:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{g}_{1}:=\left(-x_{j k}-x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{j l_{2}} \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{3}:=x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
\mathbf{g}_{2}:=x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{i l_{2}} \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{4}:=x_{l_{3} k} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
\mathbf{g}_{3}:=-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}+x_{i l_{3}} \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{5}:=x_{l_{3} j} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
\mathbf{g}_{4}:=x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}+x_{l_{4} i} \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{6}:=x_{l_{4} k} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
\mathbf{h}_{1}:=\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}+x_{k l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{7}:=x_{l_{4} j} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
\mathbf{h}_{2}:=\left(x_{i k}+x_{j k}\right) \cdot r_{i j k} & \mathbf{h}_{8}:=x_{s t} \cdot r_{i j k} \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

where $1 \leq l_{1}<k<l_{2}<j<l_{3}<i<l_{4} \leq n$ and $\{s, t\} \cap\{i, j, k\}=\emptyset .{ }^{1}$
Proof. Write $\Phi_{q}^{*}$ for the restriction of $\Phi^{*}$ to the subspace spanned by the basis vectors of cardinality $q:=\sharp\{i, j, k, l, s, t\}$. The map $\Phi^{*}$ can then be decomposed as the block-matrix $\Phi_{3}^{*} \oplus \Phi_{4}^{*} \oplus \Phi_{5}^{*} \oplus \Phi_{6}^{*}$. We now analyze formula (23) case by case, according to the cardinality $q=3,4,5,6$.

When $q=3$, we have $l=i, s=j, t=k$. Then $\Phi_{3}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j k} u_{i k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-\left(x_{i k}+x_{j k}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}$, and so $\Phi_{3}^{*}$ contributes elements of the form $\mathbf{h}_{2}$ to $\mathscr{B}$.

When $q=4$, suppose $i>j>k>l$. There are then $\binom{6}{3}-\binom{4}{3}=16$ possible combinations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j l} u_{i l}\right)^{*}\right)=0 & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j l} u_{j k} u_{i l}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{i l} \cdot r_{j k l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j l} u_{i k}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{j l} \cdot r_{i k l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j l} u_{j k} u_{i k}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{i k} \cdot r_{j k l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j l} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j l} u_{j k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=x_{j l} \cdot r_{i j k}-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l}-x_{i j} \cdot r_{j k l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j k} u_{i l}\right)^{*}\right)=x_{i l} \cdot r_{j k l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j l} u_{i l} u_{i k}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{j l} \cdot r_{i k l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j k} u_{i k}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i k l}+x_{i k} \cdot r_{j k l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j l} u_{i k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{j l} \cdot r_{i j k}-x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{j k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i j} \cdot r_{j k l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j k} u_{i l} u_{i k}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i k l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{i l} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{j k} u_{i l} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{i l} \cdot r_{i j k}-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l} \\
\Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{k l} u_{i k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i j} \cdot r_{i k l} & \Phi_{4}^{*}\left(\left(u_{i l} u_{i k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=-x_{i l} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j l}-x_{i j} \cdot r_{i k l}
\end{array}
$$

The image of $\Phi_{4}^{*}$ is generated by $\left(x_{i l}+x_{j l}+x_{k l}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}$ and the elements

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x _ { k l } \cdot r _ { i j l } } \\
{ ( - x _ { j l } - x _ { k l } ) \cdot r _ { i j k } + x _ { j k } \cdot r _ { i j l } } \\
{ x _ { j l } \cdot r _ { i j k } + x _ { i k } \cdot r _ { i j l } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x _ { j l } \cdot r _ { i k l } } \\
{ x _ { j k } \cdot r _ { i k l } } \\
{ - x _ { k l } \cdot r _ { i j k } + x _ { i j } \cdot r _ { i k l } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i l} \cdot r_{j k l} \\
x_{i k} \cdot r_{j k l} \\
x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i j} \cdot r_{j k l}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

Hence, the image of $\Phi_{4}^{*}$ contributes $\mathbf{h}_{1}=\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}+x_{k l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}$ for $l_{1} \leq k-1$, as well as $\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{3}$ for $k<l_{2}<j<i, \mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{5}$ for $k<j<l_{3}<i$, and $\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{6}, \mathbf{h}_{7}$ for $k<j<i<l_{4}$.

When $q=5$, the only possible instance for which $\Phi_{5}^{*} \neq 0$ is when $l=j$, or $l=i$, or $s=k$, or $s=l$. Suppose $u_{i j}>u_{l k}>u_{s t}$. Using formula (23) again, we find that

$$
\Phi_{5}^{*}\left(\left(u_{s t} u_{l k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{s t} \cdot r_{i j k} & \text { if } l=j \\ -x_{s t} \cdot r_{i j k} & \text { if } l=i \\ x_{i j} \cdot r_{l k t} & \text { if } s=k \\ -x_{i j} \cdot r_{l k t} & \text { if } s=l \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence, the map $\Phi_{5}^{*}$ will contribute $\mathbf{h}_{8}=x_{s t} \cdot r_{i j k}$ for $\{s, t\} \cap\{i, j, k\}=\emptyset$ to $\mathscr{B}$.

[^1]When $q=6$, we have that $\Phi_{6}^{*}\left(\left(u_{s t} u_{l k} u_{i j}\right)^{*}\right)=0$. This completes the proof.
Let us denote by $m_{i j k}$ and $m$ the cardinalities of the sets $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ and $\mathscr{B}$, respectively. Clearly, $m_{i j k}=\binom{n}{2}-2 k$. An elementary computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\sum_{n \geq i>j>k \geq 1} m_{i j k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\binom{n-k}{2} m_{i j k}=\frac{1}{12} n\left(n^{4}-5 n^{3}+7 n^{2}-n-2\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S^{m}$ be the free $S$-module generated by the set $\mathscr{B}=\bigcup \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$, endowed with the subset order defined by setting $\left.\mathscr{B}_{l s t}\right\rangle \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ if either $i>l$, or $i=l$ and $j>s$, or $i=l, j=s$, and $k>t$. For elements in each $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$, we use the order defined by the coefficients of $r_{i j k}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{s t}>x_{k l} \text { if either } s>k \text {, or } s=k \text { and } t>l \text {. } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with Lemmas 2.1 and 3.4, we obtain the desired presentation for the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$.
Proposition 3.5. The infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$admits a minimal presentation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{m} \xrightarrow{\Psi} S^{\binom{n}{3}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix of $\Psi$ is upper block triangular, with diagonal row vectors $\vec{v}_{i j k}(1 \leq k<j<i \leq n)$ given by

$$
\left(\vec{v}_{i j k}\right)_{*}= \begin{cases}x_{i l}+x_{j l}+x_{k l} & \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq k-1, \\ x_{i k}+x_{j k} & \text { for } 1 \leq k<j<i \leq n, \\ x_{s t} & \text { for }\{s, t\} \not \subset\{i, j, k, l\} \text { and } 1 \leq l \leq k-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we know that the standard basis for $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S=S^{\binom{n}{3}}$ gives a minimal generating set for $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$. From Lemma 3.4, the submodule im $\Phi^{*} \subset\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$ is generated by the independent set $\mathscr{B}$. Hence, the presentation (29) has no redundant relations.

Example 3.6. The first non-trivial example is the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{4}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{4}^{+}\right)$. Applying Proposition 3.5, we find that $\mathfrak{B}_{4}=\operatorname{coker}\left(\Psi: S^{14} \rightarrow S^{4}\right)$, where the transpose of the matrix of $\Psi$ has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\vec{v}_{332}^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & \vec{v}_{431}^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & \vec{v}_{421}^{T} & 0 \\
* & * & * & \vec{v}_{321}^{T}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{41}+x_{31}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{42}+x_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\
-x_{31}-x_{21} & x_{32} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{41}+x_{31} & 0 & 0 \\
x_{31} & x_{42} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{31} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{32} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{41}+x_{21} & 0 \\
-x_{21} & 0 & x_{43} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{31}+x_{21} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{41} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{42} \\
x_{21} & 0 & 0 & x_{43}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 4. A Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$

In this section, we determine a Gröbner basis for the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, which will play a crucial role in computing the Chen ranks and the scheme structure of the first resonance varieties of the upper McCool groups.
4.1. Gröbner basis for modules. We start by recalling some background material on Gröbner basis for modules (see $[15, \S 15]$ for details). Let $S=\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be a polynomial ring with variables in a finite set $\mathbf{x}$, and let $F$ be a free $S$-module with basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$. A monomial in $F$ is an element of form $m=\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} e_{i}$ and a term in $F$ is an element of the form $c \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} e_{i}$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$. A monomial order on $F$ is a total order $>$ on the monomials of $F$ such that if $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are monomials in $F$ and $s \neq 1$ is a monomial in $S$, then $m_{1}>m_{2}$ implies $s m_{1}>s m_{2}>m_{2}$.

Given a monomial order $>$ on $F$, the initial term of an element $f \in F$ is the largest term of $f$ with respect to $>$, denoted by $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(f)$. For a submodule $I \subset F$, we let $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(I)$ denote the submodule generated by $\left\{\operatorname{in}_{>}(f) \mid f \in I\right\}$. A set $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right\}$ is called a Gröbner basis for the module $I$ if the elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ generate $I$, while at the same time $\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots$, in $_{\succ}\left(g_{s}\right)$ generate $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(I)$.

If the initial terms $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right)$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{j}\right)$ contain the same basis element $e_{i}$ of $F$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right):=\frac{\operatorname{in}_{>}\left(g_{j}\right)}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right), \mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{j}\right)\right)} \cdot g_{i}-\frac{\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right), \mathrm{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{j}\right)\right)} \cdot g_{j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the division algorithm, the element $\Im\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) \in F$ has a standard expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{G}\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)=\sum p_{k}^{i j} \cdot g_{k}+h_{i j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}^{i j} \in S$ and $\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(p_{k}^{i j} g_{k}\right)<\operatorname{LCM}\left(\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right), \operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{j}\right)\right)$. If $\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(g_{j}\right)$ contain distinct basis elements of $F$, we set $h_{i j}=0$. Buchberger's criterion asserts that the set $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I$ if and only if all $\subseteq$-polynomials $\subseteq\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right)$ vanish, i.e., $h_{i j}=0$ for all $i$ and $j$.
4.2. A Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$. Once again, let $S=\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the coordinate ring of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ with variables ordered as in (28). Recall from Proposition 3.5 that $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\operatorname{coker}(\Psi)$, where $\Psi$ is an $S$-linear $\operatorname{map} \Psi: S^{m} \rightarrow\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$. Let us order the basis of $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{l s t}>r_{i j k} \text { if either } i>l, \text { or } i=l \text { and } j>s, \text { or } i=l, j=s, \text { and } k>t . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the graded reverse lexicographic order on $S$ defined by $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}>\mathbf{x}^{\beta}$ if $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\beta}\right)$, or $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\beta}\right)$ and the right-most entry in $\alpha-\beta$ is negative. This order on $S$ is extended to a monomial order on $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$ by declaring $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} r_{l s t}>\mathbf{x}^{\beta} r_{i j k}$ if $r_{l s t}>r_{i j k}$, or if $r_{l s t}=r_{i j k}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}>\mathbf{x}^{\beta}$.

By Lemma 3.4, the module $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$ is generated by the set $\mathscr{B}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$, where $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ consists of the elements from (26).

Theorem 4.1. A Gröbner basis for the $S$-module $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$ is given by $\mathscr{G}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{G}_{i j k}$, where $\mathscr{G}_{i j k}$ is the union of $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}_{i j k}:=\left\{\mathbf{h}_{9}:=x_{k l} x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k}, \mathbf{h}_{0}:=x_{j q} x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k} \mid 1 \leq p \leq l<k, 1 \leq q \leq k\right\} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this theorem is standard but lengthy, as it involves checking that $\mathscr{G}$ generates the $S$-module $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$ as a submodule of $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$, and all $\subseteq$-polynomials of elements in $\mathscr{G}$ vanish. We thus relegate the proof to Appendix 9.

Corollary 4.2. The above Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ for $\mathrm{im}(\Psi)$ admits an upper block triangular matrix with diagonal row vectors $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ for $1 \leq k<j<i \leq n$, where each vector $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ is constructed from the vector $\vec{v}_{i j k}$ from Proposition 3.5 by adding entries $\left\{x_{k l} x_{k s}, x_{j t} x_{k s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq l \leq k-1,1 \leq t \leq k\right\}$. Furthermore, the vector $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ has $\binom{n}{2}+\binom{k}{2}+(k-3) k$ entries.

Proof. The first assertion is clear. The length of the vector $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ is computed by counting the (linear) entries in the vector $\vec{v}_{i j k}$ from Proposition 3.5 , and adding the number of quadratic entries.

## 5. The Chen ranks of the upper McCool groups

In this section, we compute the Hilbert series of the infinitesimal Alexander invariants of the upper McCool groups. We then use this information to compute the Chen ranks of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$and answer a question from [11].
5.1. Hilbert series of monomial ideals. We first review some background from [15, §15.1]. Let $S$ be a polynomial ring. By a standard result in commutative algebra, the computation of the Hilbert series of any finitely generated, graded $S$-module $M$ can be reduced to the computation of the Hilbert series of a monomial module. More precisely, write $M=S^{n} / \mathfrak{I}$, where $\mathfrak{I}$ is a submodule generated by homogeneous elements in $S^{n}$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{n} / \mathfrak{I}, t\right)=\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{n} / \operatorname{in}(\mathfrak{I}), t\right), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in( $\mathfrak{I})$ is the submodule generated by the initial terms of $\mathfrak{I}$. Since the Hilbert function is additive, we only need to treat the case $N=S / I$, where $I$ is a monomial ideal of $S$.

Let $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\}$ be a set of monomials generating $I$. Choose a monomial $p \in F$, and denote its degree by $d$. Let $J$ be the monomial ideal generated by $\left\{p, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\}$, and let $I^{\prime}$ be the ideal generated by $\left\{m_{1} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, p\right), \ldots, m_{t} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{t}, p\right)\right\}$. (Certain choices of monomials $p$ can produce ideals $I^{\prime}$ and $J$ generated by fewer monomials, in less variables.) We then have a short exact sequence of graded $S$-modules,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow S / I^{\prime}(-d) \longrightarrow S / I \longrightarrow S / J \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking Hilbert series, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}(S / I, t)=\operatorname{Hilb}(S / J, t)+t^{d} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(S / I^{\prime}, t\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.2. The Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$. We are now ready to compute the Hilbert series of the infinitesimal Alexander invariants $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ of the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$.

Theorem 5.1. The Hilbert series of the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}, t\right)=\sum_{s=2}^{n-1}\binom{s}{2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-s+1}}+\binom{n}{4} \frac{t}{1-t} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This computation is an application of the method from [15, §15.1.1]. Since we already found a Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ for $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$, formula (34) insures that we only need to compute the Hilbert series of the resulting monomial ideal, $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(\mathrm{im}(\Psi))=\left\langle\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(\mathscr{G})\right\rangle$.

Recall from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(\mathscr{G}_{i j k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
x_{k s} x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{j t} x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}, & 1 \leq l \leq s \leq k-1,1 \leq t \leq k,  \tag{38}\\
x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{i l} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{a b} \cdot r_{i j k} & \{a, b\} \not \subset\{i, j, k, l\}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Consider the (reduced) monomial ideal

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i j k}=\left\langle x_{k s} x_{k l}, x_{j m} x_{k l}(1 \leq l \leq s \leq k-1,1 \leq m \leq k), x_{i k}, x_{i l}, x_{a b}(\{a, b\} \not \subset\{i, j, k, l\})\right\rangle \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (36), a straightforward computation shows that the Hilbert series of this ideal is given by $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S / I_{i j k}, t\right)=1 /(1-t)^{k}+k t /(1-t)$. Hence, the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}, t\right)=\sum_{i>j>k} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(S / I_{i j k}, t\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\binom{n-k}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{k+1}}+\frac{k t}{1-t}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon setting $s=n-k$, the claimed formula follows at once.
5.3. The Chen ranks of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. With Theorem 5.1 at our disposal, we may now compute the Chen ranks of the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, for all $n \geq 1$.
Theorem 5.2. The Chen ranks $\theta_{k}=\theta_{k}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$are given by $\theta_{1}=\binom{n}{2}, \theta_{2}=\binom{n}{3}, \theta_{3}=2\binom{n+1}{4}$, and

$$
\theta_{k}=\binom{n+k-2}{k+1}+\theta_{k-1}=\sum_{i=3}^{k}\binom{n+i-2}{i+1}+\binom{n+1}{4}
$$

for $k \geq 4$.
Proof. Clearly, $\theta_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=b_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$. To compute the other Chen ranks, recall from (13) that $\sum_{k \geq 0} \theta_{k+2}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right) \cdot t^{k}=\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right), t\right)$. On the other hand, Theorem 5.1 provides an expression for the Hilbert series of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$. Thus, it remains to find the coefficient of $t^{k}$ on the right-hand side of (37). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{s=2}^{n-1}\binom{s}{2}(1-t)^{-n+s-1}+\binom{n}{4} t(1-t)^{-1} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing derivatives, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(t)=\sum_{s=2}^{n-1}\binom{s}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{k}(n-s+i)(1-t)^{-n+s-k-1}+k!\binom{n}{4}(1-t)^{-k-1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the Chen ranks of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k+2}=\frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(0)=\sum_{s=2}^{n-1}\binom{s}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{k}(n-s+i)+k!\binom{n}{4} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying this expression, we obtain the claimed recurrence formula.
5.4. Distinguishing some related groups. Both the pure braid groups $P_{n}$ and the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are iterated semidirect products of the form $F_{n-1} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_{2} \rtimes F_{1}$. Clearly, $P_{1}=P \Sigma_{1}^{+}=\{1\}$ and $P_{2}=P \Sigma_{2}^{+}=\mathbb{Z}$; it is also known that $P_{3} \cong P \Sigma_{3}^{+} \cong F_{2} \times F_{1}$. Furthermore, both $P_{n}$ and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$share the same LCS ranks and the same Betti numbers as the corresponding direct product of free groups, $\Pi_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} F_{i}$, see [1, 11, 17, 20]. In [11], F. Cohen et al. asked whether the groups $P_{n}$ and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are isomorphic, for $n \geq 4$. The next corollary answers this question.

Corollary 5.3. For each $n \geq 4$, the pure braid group $P_{n}$, the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, and the product group $\Pi_{n}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. As shown in [9], the fourth Chen ranks of $P_{n}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ are given by $\theta_{4}\left(P_{n}\right)=3\binom{n+1}{4}$ and $\theta_{4}\left(\Pi_{n}\right)=3\binom{n+2}{5}$, respectively. On the other hand, from Theorem 5.2, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{4}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=2\binom{n+1}{4}+\binom{n+2}{5} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing these ranks shows that the groups $P_{n}, \Pi_{n}$, and $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$have non-isomorphic maximal metabelian quotients, and thus are pairwise non-isomorphic.

In [3], Bardakov and Mikhailov attempted to prove that $P_{4}$ is not isomorphic to $P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$by showing that these two groups have different single-variable Alexander polynomials. To explain their approach (and why it does not work), consider a finitely presented group $G$, and let $H=G_{\mathrm{ab}} /$ torsion be the maximal torsion-free abelian quotient of $G$. The group ring $R=\mathbb{Z H}$ is a Noetherian, commutative ring and a unique factorization domain. Moreover, the $R$-module $\bar{B}(G):=B(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} G_{\mathrm{ab}}} \mathbb{Z} H$ is finitely presented; let $E_{0}(\bar{B}(G))$ be the ideal of maximal minors of a presentation matrix for this module. The Alexander polynomial of $G$, then, is the greatest common divisor ( gcd ) of all elements of $E_{0}(\bar{B}(G))$; this polynomial, denoted $\Delta_{G}$, is well-defined up to units in $R$.

Now let $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a homomorphism, and denote by $\phi: \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ its extension to group rings. Identifying $\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and letting $B(G)^{\phi}:=\bar{B}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} H} \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ be the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$-module, the single-variable Alexander polynomial of $G$ with respect to $\phi$, denoted by $\Delta_{G}^{\phi}(t)$, is the gcd of all elements of $E_{0}\left(B(G)^{\phi}\right)$.

Example 5.4. Let $P_{3}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right| x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ central $\rangle$ be the pure braid group on 3 strands. Letting $\phi: P_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism given by $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=t$, we find that $\Delta_{P_{3}}^{\phi}(t)=\left(1-t^{3}\right)(1-t)$. On the other hand, if we take the presentation $P_{3}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right| z$ central $\rangle$, and let $\psi: P_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism given by $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=\psi(z)=t$, then $\Delta_{P_{3}}^{\psi}(t)=(1-t)^{2}$.

This example shows that the single-variable Alexander polynomial of a finitely presented group $G$ depends on a choice of presentation for the group, and thus is not an isomorphism-type invariant. Hence, the argument from [3] does not rule out the existence of an isomorphism $P_{4} \cong P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$. On the other hand, the (multi-variable) Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{G}$ is an isomorphism-type invariant for finitely presented groups $G$. Nevertheless, the groups $P_{4}$ and $P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$cannot be distinguished by means of the multi-variable Alexander polynomial. Indeed, it is known that $\Delta_{P_{n}}=1$, for all $n \geq 4$ (see [30, Theorem 9.15]), while direct computation shows that $\Delta_{P \Sigma_{4}^{+}}=1$, too.

## 6. Resonance varieties and resonance schemes

We start this section with a quick review of the resonance varieties of a connected, locally finite, graded, graded-commutative algebra. We then discuss the natural scheme structure of these varieties, and give a quick introduction to the Chen ranks formula.
6.1. Resonance varieties. Let $V$ be a complex vector space of finite dimension, and let $V^{*}$ be its dual. We write $E=\wedge V$ for the exterior algebra on $V$, and $S=\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$ for the symmetric algebra on $V^{*}$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be dual bases for $V$ and $V^{*}$, respectively, and identify the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$ with the polynomial ring $S=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.

Now let $A$ be graded, graded-commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra; we will assume that $A$ is connected and locally finite. The (degree $i$, depth $d$ ) resonance varieties of the graded algebra $A$ are the homogeneous
algebraic subvarieties of the affine space $A^{1}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d}^{i}(A)=\left\{a \in A^{1} \mid \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{i}\left(A, \delta_{a}\right) \geq d\right\} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(A, \delta_{a}\right)$ is the cochain complex (known as the Aomoto complex) with differentials $\delta_{a}^{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow$ $A^{i+1}$ given by $\delta_{a}^{i}(u)=a \cdot u$. According to [27,31], the evaluation of the cochain complex (15) at an element $a \in A^{1}$ coincides with the Aomoto complex $\left(A, \delta_{a}\right)$.

When $A=E$ is an exterior algebra, the Aomoto complex $\left(E, \delta_{a}\right)$ is acyclic, for each non-zero element $a \in E^{1}$, and thus $\mathscr{R}_{d}^{i}(E) \subseteq\{0\}$, for all $i$ and $t$. In general, though, the resonance varieties of a graded algebra $A$ can be arbitrarily complicated. For more details on this subject, we refer to [10, 22, 29, 25, 14, 30, 31, 28, 8], and references therein.

We will focus in this paper on the degree-1 resonance varieties, $\mathscr{R}_{d}(A):=\mathscr{R}_{d}^{1}(A)$. These varieties depend only on the multiplication map, $\mu_{A}: A^{1} \wedge A^{1} \rightarrow A^{2}$, and thus, only on the quadratic closure $\bar{A}$, defined in (12), i.e., $\mathscr{R}_{d}(A)=\mathscr{R}_{d}(\bar{A})$. Moreover, it is readily seen that

$$
\mathscr{R}_{d}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
a \in A^{1} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { there is a linear subspace } W \subset A^{1} \text { of dimension } d \\
\text { such that } a \notin W \text { and } \mu_{A}(a, b)=0 \text { for all } b \in W
\end{array} \tag{46}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Now let $G$ be a finitely generated group, and suppose the cohomology algebra $A=H^{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is locally finite. The resonance varieties of $G$ are then defined as $\mathscr{R}_{d}^{i}(G):=\mathscr{R}_{d}^{i}(A)$. Most important to us is the first (depth-1) resonance variety,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)=\left\{a \in H^{1}(G, \mathbb{C}) \mid \exists b \in H^{1}(G, \mathbb{C}), b \neq \lambda a, a b=0\right\} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case no further assumption on $G$ besides finite generation is needed to insure that $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ is a Zariski closed set. The following (easy to prove) naturality property will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 6.1 ([25]). Let $G_{1}$ be a finitely generated group, and let $\alpha: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the induced monomorphism in cohomology, $\alpha^{*}: H^{1}\left(G_{2} ; \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$, takes $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$ to $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$.
6.2. Resonance schemes. Before proceeding, let us review some basic notions from commutative algebra and the geometry of schemes, as recounted for instance in [15, 16]. We work over a polynomial ring $S=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and denote by $\mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{J}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ the variety defined by an ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset S$.

Let $M$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. Suppose that a minimal primary decomposition of the annihilator ideal of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ann}(M)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} Q_{i} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}_{i}=\sqrt{Q_{i}}$ be the corresponding radical ideals (or, associated primes). The varieties $\mathbf{V}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{i}\right)$ cut out by the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ form the $\operatorname{scheme} \operatorname{Spec}(S / \operatorname{Ann}(M))$ associated to $M$. Geometrically, this scheme consists of isolated components, which are the irreducible components of the support variety $\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{Ann}(M))$, and of embedded components, which are certain subvarieties of the isolated components.

We say that the variety $\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{Ann}(M))$ is reduced as a scheme if the ideals $Q_{i}$ are radical for $1 \leq$ $i \leq p$. We also say that $\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{Ann}(M))$ is weakly reduced as a scheme if the ideals $Q_{i}$ are radical for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and if $\mathfrak{q}_{i}=\mathfrak{m}$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq p$, where $\mathfrak{m}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is the maximal ideal of $S$ at 0 ; in other words, the only possible embedded component is at 0 .

Suppose now that $A$ is a connected, locally finite, graded, graded-commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. As shown in [28, Proposition 6.2], there is then a commutator-relators group $G$ such that the
algebras $H^{*}(G, \mathbb{C})$ and $A$ have the same quadratic closure, and hence have the same first resonance variety.

On the other hand, as proved in [22, Theorem 3.9] (see also [30, 8]), if $G$ is a commutator-relators group, then $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)=\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}(G)))$, where recall $\mathfrak{B}(G):=\mathfrak{B}\left(\mathfrak{h}\left(H^{*}(G, \mathbb{C})\right)\right)$ is the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $G$. Thus, the first resonance variety of the algebra $A$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{1}(A)=\mathbf{V}(\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}(A))) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it is natural to view $\mathscr{R}_{1}(A)$ as the set of closed points in the subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec}(S)$ defined by $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}(A))$, which we call the resonance scheme of $A$. Moreover, the resonance scheme of $A$ depends only on the quadratic closure $\bar{A}$ defined in (12), that is, $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{B}(A)=\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{B}(\bar{A}))$.

More generally, for each $d \geq 1$, the depth $d$ resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{d}(G)$ can be viewed as the support variety of the annihilator of the $d$-th exterior power of the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}(G)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d}(G)=\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\bigwedge^{d} \mathfrak{B}(G)\right)\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [22,25]. Hence, we may define the depth $d$ resonance scheme of the graded algebra $A$ as the scheme defined by the associated primes of the annihilator ideal of $\bigwedge^{d} \mathfrak{B}(G)$.
6.3. Bounding the resonance variety. The next lemma provides a 'lower-bound' for the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}(A))$ and an 'upper bound' for the variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}(A)$, in the case when the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of $A$ admits a suitable presentation.

Recall that for a finitely generated $S$-module $M$, we let $E_{0}(M)$ be the ideal of maximal minors for a presentation matrix for $M$. As is well known, this ideal does not depend on the choice of presentation $S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n} \rightarrow M$; furthermore, given such a presentation, $\operatorname{Ann}(M)^{n} \subseteq E_{0}(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(M)$. In particular, if $M$ is a cyclic $S$-module (i.e., it is generated by a single element), then $E_{0}(M)=\operatorname{Ann}(M)$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{B}(A)$ be the infinitesimal Alexander invariant of a graded algebra $A$ as above. Suppose $\mathfrak{B}$ admits a block-triangular presentation matrix $\Omega$, with diagonal blocks $\Omega_{i i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Let $\mathfrak{B}_{i}$ denote the $S$-module with presentation matrix $\Omega_{i i}$. Then
(1) $E_{0}(\mathfrak{B}) \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^{q} E_{0}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{i}\right)$.
(2) $\mathscr{R}_{1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{i}\right)\right)$.
(3) Furthermore, if each $\mathfrak{B}_{i}$ is a cyclic module, then $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{B}) \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{i}\right)$.

Proof. The first claim follows from the standard way of computing determinants of block-triangular matrices. The second claim follows at once from statement (1) and equation (49). The last claim follows from statement (1) and the paragraph preceding the lemma.
6.4. Chen ranks and resonance varieties. Recently, D. Cohen and H. Schenck proved the following theorem, which establishes the Chen ranks conjecture from [29] in a wider setting.

A subspace $L \subseteq H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C}$ ) is said to be p-isotropic (for some $p \geq 0$ ) if the restriction of the cup product map $H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \wedge H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ to $L \wedge L$ has rank $p$. In particular, a subspace $L \subseteq H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is 0 -isotropic (or simply, isotropic) if the restriction of the cup product map $L \wedge L \rightarrow$ $H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is trivial. Finally, two subspaces $U$ and $V$ of $H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ are said to be projectively disjoint if $U \cap V=\{0\}$.

Theorem 6.3 ([8]). Let $G$ be a finitely presented, commutator-relators 1-formal group. Assume that the components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ are 0 -isotropic, projectively disjoint, and weakly reduced as a scheme.

Then, for all $k \gg 0$, the Chen ranks of $G$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}(G)=\sum_{m \geq 2} h_{m}(G) \cdot \theta_{k}\left(F_{m}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{m}(G)$ is the number of m-dimensional components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$.
In the same paper, Cohen and Schenck showed that the first resonance varieties of the McCool groups satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 6.3, and that the Chen ranks of these groups are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)=(k-1)\binom{n}{2}+\left(k^{2}-1\right)\binom{n}{3}, \quad \text { for } k \gg 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sections that follow, we will compute the first resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$and its scheme structure. Rather surprisingly, the Chen ranks formula (51) does not hold for the groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$with $n \geq 4$. We will show that not all the components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$are isotropic, and that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$is not weakly reduced as a scheme, as soon as $n \geq 4$. Thus, in this range, the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$ do not satisfy all the hypothesis of Theorem 6.3.

## 7. The first resonance variety of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$

In this section, we compute the first resonance varieties of the upper McCool groups and apply the results to analyze several properties of these groups.
7.1. The first resonance variety of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. We are now ready to describe the first resonance variety of the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$, for all $n \geq 2$. Throughout, we will identify $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ with the $\mathbb{C}$ vector space $\mathbb{C}\binom{n}{2}$, endowed with the basis $\left\{u_{i j} \mid 1 \leq j<i \leq n\right\}$ provided by Theorem 3.2. As before, $x_{i j}$ will denote the dual coordinate functions. For $n=2$, we have that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\mathscr{R}_{1}(\mathbb{Z})=\{0\}$.

Theorem 7.1. For each $n \geq 3$, the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$decomposes into irreducible components as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\bigcup_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} L_{i j} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i j} \cong \mathbb{C}^{j}$ is the linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}\binom{n}{2}$ defined by the equations

$$
\begin{cases}x_{i, l}+x_{j, l}=0, & \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq j-1  \tag{54}\\ x_{i, l}=0 & \text { for } j+1 \leq l \leq i-1 \\ x_{s, t}=0 & \text { for } s \neq i, s \neq j, \text { and } 1 \leq t<s\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 3$, and write $L=\underset{2 \leq j<i \leq n}{\bigcup} L_{i j}$. We claim that $L=\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$. In order to verify the forward inclusion, we need to check that $L_{i j} \subseteq \mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$for all $i>j$. If $a \in L_{i j}$ is non-zero, then the system of linear equations (54) implies that $a$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} a_{i l}\left(u_{i l}-u_{j l}\right)+a_{i j} u_{i j} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 3.2, it is easy to check that $a \cdot u_{i j}=0$. Hence, from (47), we obtain that $L_{i j} \subseteq$ $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$.

For the reverse inclusion, we use the Gröbner basis of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ provided by Theorem 4.1. For each diagonal vector $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ from Corollary 4.2, the equation $\vec{w}_{i j k}=0$ defines a linear space $L_{i j k}$ : the linear entries from $\vec{v}_{i j k}$ yield equations of the form $x_{i l}+x_{j l}+x_{k l}=0$ for
$1 \leq l \leq k-1, x_{i k}+x_{j k}=0$ for $1 \leq k<j<i \leq n$, and $x_{s t}=0$ for $\{s, t\} \not \subset\{i, j, k, l\}$ and $1 \leq l \leq k-1$, while the quadratic entries of $\vec{w}_{i j k}$ yield equations of the form $x_{k s}=0$ for $1 \leq s \leq k-1$.

Clearly, $L_{i j k}$ is a subspace of the linear space $L_{i, j, j-1}=L_{i j}$ defined by equations (54). By Lemma 6.2, we have that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right) \subseteq L$, and this establishes the claim that equality (53) holds.

Finally, it is also clear that each linear subspace $L_{i j}(2 \leq j<i \leq n)$ is an irreducible variety, and no $L_{i j}$ is properly included is some distinct $L_{k l}$. This shows that (53) is indeed the irreducible decomposition of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, thereby completing the proof.
7.2. Isotropicity. The next theorem lists some of the basic properties of the (first) resonance varieties of the upper McCool groups.

Theorem 7.2. Let $L_{i j}(2 \leq j<i \leq n)$ be the irreducible components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$, the first resonance variety of the upper McCool group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$. Then:
(1) Each $L_{i j}$ is a linear subspace of dimension $j$, with basis $\left\{u_{j l}-u_{i l}, u_{i j} \mid 1 \leq l \leq j-1\right\}$.
(2) $L_{i j} \cap L_{s t}=\{0\}$ if $(i, j) \neq(s, t)$.
(3) The subspace $L_{i j}$ is 0 -isotropic for $j=2$ and $\binom{j-1}{2}$-isotropic $j \geq 3$.
(4) $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n+1}^{+}\right) \cap H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right.$; $\left.\mathbb{C}\right)$.

Proof. (1) It follows from (55) that $L_{i j}$ is the linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}\binom{n}{2}$ with the specified basis.
(2) Using the defining equations (54) for the subspaces $L_{i j}$ and $L_{s t}$, it is readily seen that these two subspaces intersect only at $\{0\}$.
(3) Consider a subspace $L_{i j}$ as in (1). From Theorem 3.2, we know that $\left(u_{j l}-u_{i l}\right) u_{i j}=0$ and $\left(u_{j l}-u_{i l}\right)\left(u_{j k}-u_{i k}\right) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq l<k \leq j-1$. If $j=2$, the subspace $L_{i 2}$ has basis $\left\{u_{21}-u_{i 1}, u_{i 2}\right\}$; hence, it is 0 -isotropic. If $j \geq 3$, the image of the cup product map $L_{i j} \wedge L_{i j} \rightarrow H^{2}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ is a linear subspace with basis $\left\{\left(u_{j l}-u_{i l}\right)\left(u_{j k}-u_{i k}\right) \mid 1 \leq l<k \leq j-1\right\}$. Hence, $L_{i j}$ is $\binom{j-1}{2}$-isotropic.
(4) By Theorem 3.2, we can construct a basis for $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n+1}^{+}\right.$; $\left.\mathbb{C}\right)$ by taking the union of a basis of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ with the set $\left\{u_{n+1,1}, \ldots, u_{n+1, n}\right\}$. By Theorem 7.1 , we have that

$$
\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)=\bigcup_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} L_{i j} \text { and } \mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n+1}^{+}\right)=\bigcup_{2 \leq j<i \leq n+1} V_{i j}
$$

where $L_{i j}=V_{i j} \cap H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ for $2 \leq j<i \leq n$, and $V_{n+1, j} \cap H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)=\{0\}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. The claim follows.
7.3. Split monomorphisms. For each $n \geq 1$, there is a split injection $P \Sigma_{n}^{+} \rightarrow P \Sigma_{n+1}^{+}$. Furthermore, the inclusion $\iota: P \Sigma_{n}^{+} \hookrightarrow P \Sigma_{n}$ is a split monomorphism for $n=3$. However, using the first resonance varieties, we can rule out the existence of a splitting homomorphism for $\iota$ when $n \geq 4$. We start by recalling a result of Cohen [7] and Cohen-Schenck [8], based on the computation of the cohomology ring of $P \Sigma_{n}$ by Jensen-McCammond-Meier from [19].

Theorem $7.3([7,8])$. For each $n \geq 2$, the first resonance variety of the group $P \Sigma_{n}$ decomposes into irreducible components as

$$
\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)=\bigcup_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} C_{i j} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq n} C_{i j k},
$$

where $C_{i j}$ is the plane defined by the equations $x_{p q}=0$ for $\{p, q\} \neq\{i, j\}$ and $C_{i j k}$ is the 3dimensional linear subspace defined by the equations $x_{i j}+x_{k j}=x_{j i}+x_{k i}=x_{i k}+x_{j k}=0$ and $x_{s t}=0$ for $\{s, t\} \nsubseteq\{i, j, k\}$. Furthermore, all these components are isotropic.

We can now answer a question raised by Paolo Bellingeri.
Proposition 7.4. There is no epimorphism from $P \Sigma_{n}$ to $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$for $n \geq 4$. In particular, the inclusion $\iota: P \Sigma_{n}^{+} \rightarrow P \Sigma_{n}$ admits no splitting for $n \geq 4$.
Proof. Suppose $\sigma: P \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$is an epimorphism. By Lemma 6.1, the epimorphism $\sigma$ induces a monomorphism $\sigma^{*}: H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ which takes $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$to $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)$.

Now, we know from Theorem 7.3 that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)$ is a union of linear spaces of dimension 2 or 3. On the other hand, Theorem 7.2 insures that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$has irreducible components which are linear spaces of dimension $n-1$. Hence, for $n \geq 5$, there is no epimorphism from $P \Sigma_{n}$ to $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$.

For $n=4$, Theorem 7.2 also tells us that the irreducible component $L_{43} \subset \mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{4}^{+}\right)$is not isotropic. For any $a, b \in L_{43}$ such that $a \cup b \neq 0$, we have that $\sigma^{*}(a) \cup \sigma^{*}(b)=\sigma^{*}(a \cup b) \neq 0$, by the injectivity of $\sigma^{*}$. Hence, $\sigma^{*}$ must take the non-isotropic component $L_{43} \subset \mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{4}^{+}\right)$to a nonisotropic component of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{4}\right)$. However, all irreducible components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{4}\right)$ are isotropic subspaces. This is a contradiction, and so we are done.
Remark 7.5. The canonical inclusion $\iota: P \Sigma_{3}^{+} \hookrightarrow P \Sigma_{3}$ does admit a splitting, for instance, the homomorphism $\sigma: P \Sigma_{3} \hookrightarrow P \Sigma_{3}^{+}$defined by sending $\left\{\alpha_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{13}, \alpha_{23}\right\}$ to $\left\{\alpha_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{32}^{-1}\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{21}^{-1}, \alpha_{31}^{-1}\right\}$, respectively. The induced homomorphism in first cohomology, $\sigma^{*}: H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{3}^{+}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{3}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, sends $\left\{u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}\right\}$ to $\left\{u_{21}-u_{13}, u_{31}-u_{23}, u_{32}-u_{12}\right\}$, respectively; consequently, $\sigma^{*}$ takes $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{3}^{+}\right)$to the linear subspace $C_{123} \subset \mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{3}\right)$.
7.4. Quasi-projectivity. A finitely presented group $G$ is said to be a quasi-projective group if it can be realized as $G=\pi_{1}(M)$, where $M$ is a smooth, connected, complex quasi-projective variety. In 1958, J.-P. Serre asked the following question: Which finitely presented groups are quasi-projective? Combining Theorem B from [14] with Theorem 4.2 from [13], we have the following obstruction for quasi-projectivity of a 1-formal group.
Theorem 7.6. Let $G$ be a quasi-projective, 1-formal group. Then each positive-dimensional irreducible component of the first resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}(G)$ is a linear subspace of $H^{1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ which is either 0-isotropic and of dimension at least 2 , or 1 -isotropic and of dimension of at least 4 .

For instance, the pure braid groups $P_{n}$ are both quasi-projective and 1-formal, and all the components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)$ are 0 -isotropic, 2-dimensional subspaces, for $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, as an application of this theorem and our own results, we obtain the following corollary.
Proposition 7.7. For each $n \geq 4$, the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$is not quasi-projective.
Proof. Since $n \geq 4$, Theorem 7.2 implies that $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$contains a component $L_{43}$ which is a 3dimensional, 1-isotropic linear subspace of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1, all the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$are 1 -formal. Hence, by Theorem 7.6, the group $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$is not quasiprojective.
Remark 7.8. The same yoga as in the previous corollary cannot be applied to the full McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}$, since, as we saw in Theorem 7.3, the components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}\right)$ are isotropic and of dimension 2 and 3. To the best of the authors' knowledge, it is unknown whether or not the groups $P \Sigma_{n}$ are quasi-projective for $n \geq 3$.
Remark 7.9. Comparing the resonance varieties of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$with those of $P_{n}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ yields another proof of Corollary 5.3. Indeed, for $n \geq 4$, all irreducible components of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(\Pi_{n}\right)$ are isotropic linear subspaces (of dimension 2, respectively, $2, \ldots, n-1$ ), whereas $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$has nonisotropic components.

## 8. The scheme structure of $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$

In this last section we determine the scheme structure defined by the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)\right)$on the resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$.
8.1. Two $S$-modules and their Hilbert series. We start with some preparation. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left\{x_{i j} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $j<i \leq n\}$ be the dual of the standard basis of $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$, and let $S=\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the polynomial ring in those variables. Recall from Proposition 3.5 that the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$ has a presentation given by $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=S^{\binom{n}{3}} / \mathrm{im}(\Psi)$, where $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$ is the submodule of $S^{\binom{n}{3}}$ generated by the set $\mathscr{B}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ from Lemma 3.4.

Let $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ be the quotient of the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ by the submodule generated by the set of monomials $\mathscr{E}_{i j k}:=\left\{\mathbf{f}:=x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k} \mid 1 \leq p \leq k-1\right\}$. Then $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ has a presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}=S_{\binom{n}{3}} / \mathfrak{I}, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{I}$ is the submodule of $S^{\binom{n}{3}}$ generated by the set $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{B}_{i j k}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{i j k}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}_{i j k} \cup \mathscr{E}_{i j k} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 8.1. The set $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ forms a Gröbner basis for the submodule $\mathfrak{I}$.
Proof. Comparing the set $\mathscr{D}_{i j k}$ from (33) with the set $\mathscr{E}_{i j k}$, we see that each element in $\mathscr{D}_{i j k}$ is of the form $x_{k l} \mathbf{f}$ or $x_{j q} \mathbf{f}$, for some $\mathbf{f} \in \mathscr{E}_{i j k}$. In view of step 2 from the proof of Theorem 4.1 given in Appendix 9, in order to reach the desired conclusion, we only need to check the vanishing of the $\varsigma_{-p o l y n o m i a l s ~} \varsigma_{( }(g, f)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{E}_{i j k}$ and $g \in \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{g}}$ from (74). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Im\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{f}\right)=x_{k p}\left(-x_{j k}-x_{l_{k} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}=-\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \mathbf{h}_{8}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{f}\right)=x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}=x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{8}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{f}\right)=-x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}=-x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{8}^{\left(i_{3 j}\right)}, \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{f}\right)=x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}=x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{8}^{\left(l_{4 i j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{8}^{(* * *)} \in \mathscr{B}_{* * *}$ is the corresponding element from Lemma 3.4. Hence, all these $\mathcal{G}^{-p o l y n o m i a l s}$ vanish, and we are done.
Now choose a basis $\left\{e_{i j k l} \mid 1 \leq l<k<j<i \leq n\right\}$ for the free module $S\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}n\end{array}\right) \text {, and let } J \text { be the }\end{array}\right.$ submodule of $S\binom{n}{4}$ generated by the monomials $x_{s t} e_{i j k l}$, where $1 \leq t<s \leq n$, and $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$. We then define an $S$-module

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}:=S^{\binom{n}{4}} / J . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $3 \leq j<i \leq n$, let $K_{i j}=S / I_{i j}$, where $I_{i j}$ is the ideal of $S$ generated by the variables $x_{s t}$ with $1 \leq t<s \leq n$ for which $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$. The $S$-module $K_{n}$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n} \cong \bigoplus_{3 \leq j<i \leq n} \bigoplus_{1}^{\binom{j-1}{2}} K_{i j} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 8.2. For each $n \geq 4$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}\left(K_{n}, t\right)=\binom{n}{4} \frac{1}{1-t} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}, t\right)=\sum_{s=2}^{n-1}\binom{s}{2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-s+1}} .
$$

Proof. The $S$-module $K_{n}$ decomposes as the direct sum of $\binom{j-1}{2}$ copies of sub-modules $K_{i j}=S / I_{i j}$ for $3 \leq j<i \leq n$, where $I_{i j}$ is the ideal generated by the variables $x_{s t}$ with $1 \leq t<s \leq n$ and $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$. Since $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(K_{i j}, t\right)=1 /(1-t)$, the first equality readily follows.

To prove the second equality, recall first that $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}=S\binom{n}{3} / \mathfrak{I}$, with $\mathfrak{J}$ the ideal with Gröbner basis $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{B}_{i j k}^{\prime}$, where the set $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}^{\prime}$ is given in (57). It is readily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in}_{\succ}\left(\mathscr{B}_{i j k}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x_{k l} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{i l} \cdot r_{i j k}, x_{a b} \cdot r_{i j k},\{a, b\} \not \subset\{i, j, k, l\}, 1 \leq l \leq k-1\right\} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The claimed expression for the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ now follows as in the proof of Theorem 5.1.
8.2. A short exact sequence of $S$-modules. In order to understand the annihilator ideal of the infinitesimal Alexander invariant $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$, we approximate it by a simpler quotient module, $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$, and then study the kernel of the projection map, $K_{n}$.

Theorem 8.3. For each $n \geq 4$, there is a short exact sequence of graded $S$-modules,

$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

Proof. From the definition of the modules $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$, there is a canonical projection $p: \mathfrak{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. Let us verify the claim that $\operatorname{ker}(p)=K_{n}$. Consider the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\binom{n}{4} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{B}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a basis $\left\{e_{i j k l} \mid 1 \leq l<k<j<i \leq n\right\}$ for the free module $S\binom{n}{4}$, and let the morphism $\phi$ be defined by $\phi\left(e_{i j k l}\right)=x_{k l} r_{i j k}$. We then have $\operatorname{ker}(p)=\operatorname{im}(\phi)$, so the above sequence is exact in the middle. Hence we have a short exact sequence of $S$-modules,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow S_{\binom{n}{4}}^{\left(\operatorname{ker}(\phi) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \mathfrak{B}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 . . . . . .\right.} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the Hilbert series computations from Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 5.1, we infer that $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S\binom{n}{4} / \operatorname{ker}(\phi), t\right)=\operatorname{Hilb}\left(K_{n}, t\right)$.

Using the Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ for $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$ from Theorem 4.1, it is easy to check that $\phi(J)$ is included in the submodule of $S\binom{n}{3}$ generated by $\mathscr{G}$. Hence, we have that $J \subseteq \operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ and there is a canonical surjection $K_{n} \rightarrow S\binom{n}{4} / \operatorname{ker}(\phi)$. Since both $S$-modules have the same Hilbert series, we conclude that $K_{n} \cong S\binom{n}{4} / \operatorname{ker}(\phi)$. This completes the proof.

The next following proposition details the relationship between the supports of the $S$-modules $K_{n}, \mathfrak{B}_{n}$, and $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 8.4. For each $n \geq 4$, we have that $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Let us start by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ann}\left(\bigoplus_{3 \leq j<i \leq n} \bigoplus_{1}^{\binom{j-1}{2}} K_{i j}\right)=\bigcap_{3 \leq j<i \leq n} \operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{k l}\right)=\bigcap_{3 \leq j<i \leq n} I_{i j} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)\right)$ is a union of lines $\mathbf{V}\left(I_{i j}\right)$ defined by equations $x_{s t}=0$ for $1 \leq t<s \leq n$ and $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$.

Now let $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}(i j k)$ be the quotient of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ by the ideal generated by $\left\{r_{s t l} \mid(s, t, l) \neq(i, j, k)\right\}$. We then have $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}(i j k)\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. With the help of Lemma 3.3, direct computation shows that
the variety $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}(i j k)\right)\right)$ is the 2-plane $P_{i j k}$ defined by the equations $x_{i k}+x_{j k}=0$ and $x_{s t}=0$ for $\{s, t\} \notin\{i, j, k\}$.

The short exact sequence from Theorem 8.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (63), we see that $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}(i j k)\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, thereby completing the proof.
8.3. Resonance scheme structure. We now analyze the scheme structure of the annihilator ideals of the modules $K_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ defined above.
Theorem 8.5. The resonance schemes defined by $\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are reduced.
Proof. From (63), it is clear that $\operatorname{Ann}\left(K_{n}\right)$ is reduced. So we are left with proving the second assertion. Let $Q_{i j}$ be the ideal generated by the linear forms $x_{i l}+x_{j l}, x_{i r}$, and $x_{s t}$, where $1 \leq l \leq j-1$, $j+1 \leq r \leq i-1, s \neq i$, and $s \neq j, 1 \leq t<s$. Clearly, each $Q_{i j}$ is a prime ideal. From (49) and Theorem 7.1, we infer that the set of minimal primes of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is $\left\{Q_{i j} \mid 2 \leq j<i \leq n\right\}$.

By Proposition 8.4, we have that $\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Therefore, the set of minimal primes of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ coincides with the set of minimal primes of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} Q_{i j} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 to the $S$-module $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$, we infer that the product of the ideals $Q_{i j}$ from above is contained in $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. Since the sum of those ideals is $S$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} Q_{i j}=\prod_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} Q_{i j} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the annihilator of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ has primary decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcap_{2 \leq j<i \leq n} Q_{i j}, \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

with each $Q_{i j}$ a prime ideal. This completes the claim that $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced.
We are now ready to describe the scheme structure of the first resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$.
Theorem 8.6. The resonance scheme of the upper McCool group P $\Sigma_{n}^{+}$defined by the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)$ consists of the isolated components $L_{i j}$ with $2 \leq j<i \leq n$ listed in Theorem 7.2, together with 1dimensional, embedded components $L_{i j}^{\prime} \subset L_{i j}$ defined by the equations $x_{s t}=0$ for $1 \leq t<s \leq n$ and $(s, t) \neq(i, j)$, for all $3 \leq j<i \leq n$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 8.3 that we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. As a consequence, we have inclusions of sets of associated primes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ass}\left(K_{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Ass}\left(K_{n}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Theorems 7.1 and 8.5 imply that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)$. Combining this inclusion with (68), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Ass}\left(K_{n}\right) . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the isolated components of the resonance scheme are the varieties associated to the associated primes of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$, while the embedded components are the varieties associated to the associated primes of $K_{n}$, i.e., the set of primes $I_{i j}$ (with duplicates removed). This completes the proof.

As a quick application of this theorem, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 8.7. For each $n \geq 4$, the first resonance variety $\mathscr{R}_{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$is not weakly reduced as a scheme.
Example 8.8. By Theorem 8.6, the resonance scheme of $P \Sigma_{4}^{+}$contains the isolated components $L_{32}$, $L_{42}$ and $L_{43}$, and the embedded component $L_{43}^{\prime}$. Moreover, from the presentation of $\mathfrak{B}_{4}=\mathfrak{B}\left(P \Sigma_{4}^{+}\right)$ given in (29), we find that a primary ideal corresponding to $L_{43}^{\prime}$ in the primary decomposition of $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{4}\right)$ is

$$
J_{43}=\operatorname{ideal}\left(x_{41}+x_{31}+x_{21}, x_{31} x_{21}, x_{32} x_{21}, x_{42} x_{21}, x_{42} x_{31}+x_{32} x_{31}, x_{42} x_{32}, x_{21}^{2}, x_{31}^{2}, x_{32}^{2}, x_{42}^{2}\right),
$$

with radical ideal $\sqrt{J_{43}}=\operatorname{ideal}\left(x_{21}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{41}, x_{42}\right)$.
8.4. Higher depth resonance. Recall from Theorem 7.2 that each isolated component of the scheme defined by $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)$ is a linear subspace $L_{i j}$ spanned by the set $\left\{u_{j l}-u_{i l}, u_{i j} \mid 1 \leq l \leq j-1\right\}$. By Theorem 8.6, if $j \geq 3$, this linear space contains an embedded component, which is the 1-dimensional linear subspace $L_{i j}^{\prime}$ spanned by the vector $u_{i j}$. The relationship between the isolated components and the embedded components of the resonance scheme $\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right)$ can then be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j}^{\prime}=\left\{a \in L_{i j} \mid a \cup b=0, \text { for all } b \in L_{i j}\right\} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $L_{i j}^{\prime}$ is the maximal subspace of $L_{i j}$ which is perpendicular to $L_{i j}$, with respect to the cup-product map on $H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

As another application, we obtain some partial information on the higher-depth resonance varieties of the upper McCool groups $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$.
Proposition 8.9. For all $d \geq 2$, the following inclusion holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right) \supseteq \bigcup_{d+1 \leq j i i \leq n} L_{i j}^{\prime} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $W \subset H^{1}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ be the $(j-1)$-dimensional linear subspace spanned by $\left\{u_{i k}-u_{j k} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $k<j<i \leq n\}$. By Theorem 3.2, we have that $u_{i j}\left(u_{i k}-u_{j k}\right)=0$. Therefore, by (46), $u_{i j} \in \mathscr{R}_{d}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$ for $d \leq j-1$. Hence, $L_{i j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{i j}\right\}$ is included in $\mathscr{R}_{d}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$for $d+1 \leq j<i \leq n$.
Remark 8.10. It seems reasonable to expect that the depth- $d$ resonance varieties of $P \Sigma_{n}^{+}$have a similar decomposition into irreducible components as those in depth-1. More precisely, we conjecture that inclusion (71) holds as equality for $d \geq 2$, and gives the decomposition into irreducible components of the resonance varieties $\mathscr{R}_{d}\left(P \Sigma_{n}^{+}\right)$. Furthermore, we expect that these varieties are reduced as schemes for all $d \geq 2$. We have verified that this conjecture holds for $n \leq 5$, as well as for $n=6$ and $d=2$.

## 9. Appendix: Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let $\Psi: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{\binom{n}{3}}$ be the $S$-linear map from Proposition 3.5. We know from Lemma 3.4 that the $S$-module im $(\Psi)$ is generated by the set $\mathscr{B}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n} \mathscr{B}_{i j k}$, where $\mathscr{B}_{i j k}$ consists of the elements from (26). Let $\mathscr{G}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k<j<i \leq n}\left(\mathscr{B}_{i j k} \cup \mathscr{D}_{i j k}\right)$, where $\mathscr{D}_{i j k}$ is given in (33). Our task is to show that the set $\mathscr{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$. We do this in two steps.

Step 1. We first show that each set $\mathscr{D}_{i j k}$ is included in $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$. Using the description of the sets $\mathscr{B}_{i j l}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{i k p}$ from Lemma 3.4, we see that for $1 \leq p \leq l<k$ and $1 \leq q \leq k$, the elements

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{f}_{1}:=\left(-x_{j l}-x_{k l}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l} \\
\mathbf{f}_{2}:=x_{j l} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j l}
\end{array} \quad \mathbf{f}_{3}:=-x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k}+x_{i j} \cdot r_{i k p} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{f}_{4}:=x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j l} \\
\mathbf{f}_{5}:=x_{j q} \cdot r_{i k p} .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

are in $\mathscr{B} \subset \operatorname{im}(\Psi)$. Direct computation shows that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x_{k l} x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k} & =\left(x_{i k}+x_{j k}\right) \mathbf{f}_{4}-x_{k p}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}\right)  \tag{72}\\
x_{j q} x_{k p} \cdot r_{i j k} & =x_{i j} \mathbf{f}_{5}-x_{j p} \mathbf{f}_{3}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

from which we conclude that indeed $\mathscr{D}_{i j k} \subset \operatorname{im}(\Psi)$.
Step 2. We now show that all $\subseteq$-polynomials between pairs of elements of $\mathscr{G}$ vanish. Clearly, $\subseteq$ polynomials of elements whose initial terms contain distinct basis elements of $\left(I^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes S$ vanish; thus, we only need to calculate the $\mathcal{S}^{-}$polynomials of pairs of elements from $\mathscr{G}_{i j k}=\mathscr{B}_{i j k} \cup \mathscr{D}_{i j k}$, for $1 \leq k<j<i \leq n$. To start with, note that the subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{h}}:=\left\{\mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{5}, \mathbf{h}_{6}, \mathbf{h}_{7}, \mathbf{h}_{8}, \mathbf{h}_{9}, \mathbf{h}_{0}\right\} \subset \mathscr{G}_{i j k} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

only contains elements of the form $p \cdot r_{i j k}$, where $p \in S$. Thus, it is easy to check the vanishing of all $\subseteq$-polynomials of pairs of elements from this subset. Next, we consider the subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\{\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{g}_{4}\right\} \subset \mathscr{G}_{i j k}, \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and check the vanishing of the polynomials $\Theta(g, h)$ for all $g \in \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $h \in \mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{h}}$. To make this process easier to follow, we set up some notation. In each $\subseteq$-polynomial $\subseteq(g, h)$, an item will be underlined
 to replace the underlined items in the previous step.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{1}\right)=-\underline{x_{k l_{1}}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2} k\right.}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{j l_{2}}\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k} \\
& =\cdots-\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{1}-\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right)\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i l_{2}} \\
& =\cdots-\underline{\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{1}}-\underline{\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2}\right) \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{l 2} l_{1} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}+\underline{x_{l 2} k} x_{l_{2} l_{1}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2}\right)=-x_{j k}^{2} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{2} k}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{j l_{2}} x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k} \\
& =\cdots-x_{j k}^{2} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{1}-x_{i k}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}} \\
& =\cdots-\underline{x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{1}}-\underline{\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2} k\right) \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}+\underline{2 x_{j k} x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i l_{2}}}+\underline{x_{l_{2} k}^{2} \cdot r_{i l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{3}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}-\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{4}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{k} k}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2}\right) \cdot r_{i l_{2}}} \\
& \mathfrak{E}\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{5}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{j} j}}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{6}\right)=-\underline{x_{4 k}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{7}\right)=-\underline{x_{l 4}}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{8}\right)=-\underline{x_{s t}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2} k\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Xi\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{9}\right)=-\underline{x_{k l} x_{k p}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2}\right) \cdot r_{i l_{2}}} \\
& \Im\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{0}\right)=-\underline{x_{j q} x_{k p}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2 k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{1}\right)=\underline{x_{k l_{1}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}-x_{i l_{2}}\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}=\cdots-\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}-x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}\right) \\
& =\cdots-\underline{\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{2}}+\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{2} l_{1}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{2}\right)=x_{j k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{i l_{2}} x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k}=x_{j k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-\left(x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{2}-x_{i k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}\right) \\
& =\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}-\underline{x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{2}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{3}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{4}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{3} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{5}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{3} j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Xi\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{6}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{4} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{7}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{4} j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{8}\right)=\underline{x_{s t} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{9}\right)=\underline{x_{k l} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{h}_{0}\right)=\underline{x_{j q} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{1}\right)=-\underline{x_{k l_{1}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}}-x_{i l_{3}}\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k}=\cdots-\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}+x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}\right) \\
& =\cdots-\underline{\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{3}}-\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i l_{3} j\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{3} l_{1}} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{2}\right)=-\underline{x_{j k}^{2} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}}-x_{i l_{3}} x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k}=\cdots-x_{i k}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}+x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}\right) \\
& =\cdots-\underline{x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{3}}-\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i l_{3} j\right)}}+\underline{x_{l 3} k x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}}+\underline{x_{j k}^{2} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{3}\right)=-x_{l_{2} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{4}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{3} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{5}\right)=-\underline{x_{3 j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{6}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{4} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{7}\right)=-\underline{x_{l_{4} j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{8}\right)=-\underline{x_{s t} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{9}\right)=-x_{k l} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{h}_{0}\right)=-\underline{x_{j q} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{1}\right)=\underline{x_{k l_{1}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}-x_{l_{4} i}\left(x_{i l_{1}}+x_{j l_{1}}\right) \cdot r_{i j k} \\
& =\cdots-\underline{\left(x_{i l_{1}}\right.}+\underline{\left.x_{j l_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{4}}+\underline{x_{i l_{1}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}+\underline{x_{j l_{1}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{2}\right)=\underline{x_{j k}^{2} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}-x_{l_{4} i} x_{i k} \cdot r_{i j k}=\cdots-\underline{x_{i k} \mathbf{g}_{4}}+\underline{x_{i k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Im\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{3}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \mathfrak{\Im}\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{4}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{3} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{5}\right)=\underline{x_{l_{3} j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{6}\right)=x_{l_{4} k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}=\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(l_{4} i j\right)}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{i k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{7}\right)=x_{l_{4} j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4 i j}}=\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{2}^{\left(l_{4} i j\right)}}-\underline{x_{i j} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l 4} i j} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{8}\right)=x_{s t} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}= \begin{cases}\frac{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(l_{4} i j\right)}-x_{j k} x_{i t} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}{\left.x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{4} l_{4 j}\right)}-\overline{x_{j k} x_{j t} \cdot r_{l 4} x_{i j} \cdot r_{s l_{4} i}}, & \text { for } s=l_{4} \\
\frac{\text { xor } t=l_{4}}{x_{s t} x_{j k} \cdot r_{4 i} i j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{9}\right)=\underline{x_{k l} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Xi\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \mathbf{h}_{0}\right)=\underline{x_{j q} x_{k p} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l 4} i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we check the vanishing of the $\subseteq$-polynomials of pairs of elements in $\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{g}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{2}\right)=-x_{i l_{2}}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{j l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}} \\
& =-\underline{\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \mathbf{h}_{2}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}+\underline{x_{j l_{2}} x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{3}\right)=-\overline{x_{i l_{3}}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \cdot} r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{j l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i_{3} j} \\
& =\underline{-\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \mathbf{g}_{3}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}-\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{j l_{2}} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{4}\right)=-\overline{x_{l i}\left(x_{j k}+x_{l 2} k\right) \cdot} r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{j l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l 4 i j} \\
& =-\underline{\left(x_{j k}+x_{l_{2} k}\right) \mathbf{g}_{4}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}+\underline{x_{l_{2} k} x_{j l_{2}} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{g}_{3}\right)=x_{i l_{3}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{i l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j} \\
& =x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{3}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}+x_{j k}\left(x_{i l_{2}}+x_{j l_{2}}\right) \cdot r_{i l_{3} j} \\
& =\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{3}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i_{3} j\right)}-\underline{x_{l_{3} l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i_{3} j}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \mathbf{g}_{4}\right)=x_{l_{4} i} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{i l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j} \\
& =\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{4}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{j l_{2}} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}-\underline{x_{i l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \mathbf{g}_{4}\right)=\overline{-x_{l 4} x_{j k}} \cdot \overline{r_{i l_{3} j}-x_{i l_{3}} x_{j k}} \cdot \overline{r_{4 i} i j} \\
& =-\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{4}^{\left(i l_{3}\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{3} j} \cdot r_{l_{4} l_{3}}}-\underline{x_{i l_{3}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, suppose that $1 \leq v_{1}<k<v_{2}<j<v_{3}<i<v_{4} \leq n$ and $v_{*}<l_{*}$ for $*=1,2,3,4$. We check the vanishing of the remaining $\mathcal{G}^{-}$polynomials between elements in $\mathscr{G}_{\mathbf{g}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{1}\right)=-x_{j v_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-\underline{x_{j v_{2}} x_{l_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}+x_{j l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j v_{2}}+\underline{x_{j l_{2}} x_{v_{2} k} \cdot r_{i j v_{2}}} \\
& =\cdots-x_{j v_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{j k}\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\left(i j v_{2}\right)}+x_{j v_{2}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}+x_{l_{2} v_{2}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}\right) \\
& =\cdots+\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\left(i j v_{2}\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{l v_{2} v_{2}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{2}\right)=x_{i v_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{i l_{2}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i j v_{2}} \\
& =x_{j k}\left(x_{i v_{2}}+x_{j v_{2}}\right) \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}-x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\left(i j v_{2}\right)} \\
& =\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{\left(i j l_{2}\right)}}-\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{2} v_{2}} \cdot r_{i j l_{2}}}-\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\left(i j v_{2}\right)}} \\
& \Theta\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{3}\right)=-x_{i v_{3}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i l_{3} j}+x_{i l_{3}} x_{j k} \cdot r_{i v_{3} j} \\
& =-x_{j k}\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}^{\left(i l_{j} j\right)}-x_{l_{3} j} \cdot r_{i l_{3} v_{3}}\right)+x_{j k}\left(\mathbf{g}_{3}^{\left(i v_{3} j\right)}+x_{v_{3} j} \cdot r_{i l_{3} v_{3}}\right) \\
& =-\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\left(i l_{3} j\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{l_{3} j} \cdot r_{i l_{3} v_{3}}}+\underline{x_{j k} \mathbf{g}_{3}^{\left(i v_{3} j\right)}}+\underline{x_{j k} x_{v_{3} j} \cdot r_{i l_{3} v_{3}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathfrak{S}\left(\mathbf{g}_{4}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{4}\right)=\underline{x_{v_{4} i} x_{j k} \cdot r_{l_{4} i j}}-\underline{x_{l_{4} i} x_{j k} \cdot r_{v_{4} i j}}
$$

Therefore, all the $\mathcal{G}^{-}$-polynomials from $\mathscr{G}$ vanish, and so $\mathscr{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\operatorname{im}(\Psi)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
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